

Review **Drugs Targeting Sirtuin 2 Exhibit Broad-Spectrum Anti-Infective Activity**

Thomas Shenk 1,2, John L. Kulp III [3](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2586-9207) and Lillian W. Chiang 1,*

- ¹ Evrys Bio, LLC, Pennsylvania Biotechnology Center, 3805 Old Easton Road, Doylestown, PA 18902, USA; tshenk@princeton.edu
- ² Department of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA
- ³ Conifer Point Pharmaceuticals, Pennsylvania Biotechnology Center, 3805 Old Easton Road, Doylestown, PA 18902, USA; john.kulp@coniferpoint.com
- ***** Correspondence: lillian@evrysbio.com

Abstract: Direct-acting anti-infective drugs target pathogen-coded gene products and are a highly successful therapeutic paradigm. However, they generally target a single pathogen or family of pathogens, and the targeted organisms can readily evolve resistance. Host-targeted agents can overcome these limitations. One family of host-targeted, anti-infective agents modulate human sirtuin 2 (SIRT2) enzyme activity. SIRT2 is one of seven human sirtuins, a family of NAD⁺-dependent protein deacylases. It is the only sirtuin that is found predominantly in the cytoplasm. Multiple, structurally distinct SIRT2-targeted, small molecules have been shown to inhibit the replication of both RNA and DNA viruses, as well as intracellular bacterial pathogens, in cell culture and in animal models of disease. Biochemical and X-ray structural studies indicate that most, and probably all, of these compounds act as allosteric modulators. These compounds appear to impact the replication cycles of intracellular pathogens at multiple levels to antagonize their replication and spread. Here, we review SIRT2 modulators reported to exhibit anti-infective activity, exploring their pharmacological action as anti-infectives and identifying questions in need of additional study as this family of anti-infective agents advances to the clinic.

Keywords: SIRT1; SIRT2; sirtuin; host-targeted agents; antiviral; anti-infective; allostery; epigenetics; host cell metabolism

1. Introduction

Direct-acting anti-infectives are a highly successful therapeutic paradigm. Of 137 approved antivirals (DrugBank version 5.1.12), the vast majority are direct acting, i.e., they target a viral product. Only thirteen are host targeted and, of these, eleven are interferons, which are generally poorly tolerated. Maraviroc [\[1\]](#page-17-0) is an exception. It targets the HIV-1 co-receptor, C-C motif chemokine receptor 5 (CCR5) and blocks its interaction with the viral gp120 envelope glycoprotein, preventing CCR5-tropic HIV cell entry.

In spite of their wide use, direct-acting antivirals have two major limitations. The first relates to their breadth of activity. Although remdesivir inhibits the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase of multiple RNA viruses [\[2\]](#page-17-1), direct-acting agents generally target a single virus or virus family. The second limitation of direct-acting agents is that viruses can readily evolve resistance. In contrast to antivirals, direct-acting anti-bacterials, i.e., antibiotics, are generally broad-spectrum agents, with antimicrobial activity against gram-positive and gram-negative organisms. However, antibiotics suffer from the second limitation of direct-acting drugs: microbes evolve resistance. Host-targeted anti-infectives should overcome these limitations of direct-acting agents. Further, and very importantly, given their broad-spectrum activity, approved host-targeted therapeutics can likely be deployed rapidly against emerging pathogens, even before a detailed understanding of the agent is available.

Citation: Shenk, T.; Kulp III, J.L.; Chiang, L.W. Drugs Targeting Sirtuin 2 Exhibit Broad-Spectrum Anti-Infective Activity. *Pharmaceuticals* **2024**, *17*, 1298. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/ph17101298) [10.3390/ph17101298](https://doi.org/10.3390/ph17101298)

Academic Editors: Michele Aventaggiato, Marco Tafani and Nuno Manuel Xavier

Received: 23 July 2024 Revised: 11 September 2024 Accepted: 15 September 2024 Published: 29 September 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) $4.0/$).

The concept of host-targeted antivirals is not new, and their potential utility has been reviewed recently [\[3–](#page-17-2)[6\]](#page-17-3). These agents target cell functions essential for the virus but dispensable for normal, quiescent host cells, or they target cell systems that potentiate protective, innate and adaptive immune responses. Epigenetic networks control numerous cellular processes that impact viral replication and spread, and have great potential for the discovery and development of host-targeted antivirals [\[7](#page-17-4)[,8\]](#page-18-0). These networks include writers, readers, and erasers, and one common type of epigenetic modification is lysine N - ε -acetylation. This modification has been identified on thousands of proteins, which constitute the cellular acetylome [\[9\]](#page-18-1). The acetylome modulates chromatin structure and transcriptional activity, protein interactions and localization, metabolic activity, and numerous other cell processes [\[10\]](#page-18-2). Histone acetyltransferases (HATs) write the marks by transferring an acetyl group from acetyl-coenzyme A to a lysine residue, bromodomain and extra-terminal proteins (BETs) bind and read modified lysine residues, and histone deacetylases (HDACs; also termed lysine deacetylases, KDACs) erase the marks. All of these activities are druggable [\[11](#page-18-3)[,12\]](#page-18-4).

2. SIRT2 Impacts the Growth of Intracellular Pathogens

KDACs are comprised of two families: Zn⁺⁺-dependent KDACs and NAD⁺-dependent KDACs. The NAD⁺-dependent enzymes are also termed sirtuins (SIRTs). The seven SIRTs (SIRT1–SIRT7) [\[13\]](#page-18-5) deacylate lysines in protein substrates, transferring the acyl group to the ADP-ribose of NAD⁺, generating nicotinamide and 2'-O-acyl-ADP-ribose. Deacetylation is commonly studied, but SIRTs also remove longer acyl chain modifications [\[14\]](#page-18-6). Numerous SIRT inhibitors and activators have been described [\[15\]](#page-18-7).

Multiple SIRTs impact the growth of intracellular pathogens [\[16\]](#page-18-8). In some cases, the SIRT supports replication of the pathogen. For example, SIRT1 has been reported to deacetylate the DNA sensor, interferon gamma inducible protein 16 (IFI16), blocking its cytoplasmic localization and its association with stimulator of interferon genes (STING) [\[17\]](#page-18-9). As a result, SIRT1 antagonizes the cellular antiviral response against herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1) in human cells. *Listeria monocytogenes* provides another example. SIRT2 is relocalized from the cytoplasm to the nucleus following infection with the pathogen, where it supports replication of the bacteria, at least in part, by reprograming host cell transcription to prevent premature death of the infected cell [\[18](#page-18-10)[,19\]](#page-18-11). In other instances, the SIRT antagonizes replication of the pathogen, as reported for SIRT7 in hepatitis B virus-infected cells, where it binds to the viral genome, termed covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA), through the viral core protein and acts to restrict viral transcription via modulation of the chromatin structure [\[20\]](#page-18-12). Similarly, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection downregulates SIRT1 in macrophages and treatment with an SIRT1 activator, resveratrol or SRT1720, reduced intracellular growth of the bacterium [\[21\]](#page-18-13).

SIRT2 is the most widely studied sirtuin in the context of infection and multiple SIRT2-targeted modulators have been reported to antagonize the replication of one or more intracellular pathogens. These include DNA viruses, such as human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) and hepatitis B virus (HBV); RNA viruses, such as SARS-CoV-2, influenza A, dengue, and human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1); and bacteria, such as *L. monocytogenes* and *M. tuberculosis* (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Known SIRT2-selective modulators with anti-infective activity (Table [2\)](#page-4-0) span multiple chemical classes, comprising aminothiazoles (FLS-359) [\[22\]](#page-18-14), cyanopropenamides (AGK2) [\[23\]](#page-18-15), and sulfamoyl benzamides (AK-1 and AK-7) [\[24](#page-18-16)[–26\]](#page-18-17). In addition, modulators inhibiting both SIRT1 and SIRT2 (SIRT1/2) with demonstrated antiinfective activity (Table [2\)](#page-4-0) include aminobenzamides (sirtinol) [\[27\]](#page-18-18) and thiourea derivates (tenovin-1) [\[28\]](#page-18-19). SIRT1/2 modulators have been shown to inhibit several RNA viruses, but it is not yet clear whether modulation of both SIRT1 and SIRT2, or only one sirtuin, or an off-target activity, is critical to the antiviral effect. Additional SIRT2-selective modulators have been described [\[29](#page-18-20)[–33\]](#page-19-0), some with more potent in vitro and in vivo activities, but this review will focus on the compounds in Table [2,](#page-4-0) shown to have anti-infective activity.

Table 1. Intracellular pathogens reported to be inhibited by SIRT2 modulators.

Table 1. *Cont.*

^a Anti-infective 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) in μ M; ^b 50% cellular cytotoxicity concentration (CC₅₀) in μ M; c PHH, primary human hepatocytes; ^d anti-infective activity was demonstrated, but IC₅₀ was not determined; e HFF, primary human foreskin fibroblasts; f dNHBE, differentiated normal human bronchial epithelial cells; $g_{1.2 \mu\text{M}}$ = IC₉₀; ^h SIRT1/2 inhibitor; ⁱ treatment with a combination of EX527 (SIRT1 inhibitor) plus AGK2 (SIRT2 modulator) was not antiviral; ^j AK-7 did not reduce intracellular *S. typhimurium* in cultured murine dendritic cells, but reduced bacterial burden in vivo.

In sum, SIRT2 modulators can mitigate the growth of multiple intracellular pathogens. So far, there have not been reports of intracellular pathogens resistant to SIRT2 modulation, but it is conceivable that some viruses or intracellular bacteria have evolved, that are yet to be identified, into gene products that interact with SIRT2 in ways that could preclude productive access by SIRT2 modulators (conferring resistance), for example, by degrading the enzyme or locking it in an active confirmation.

Is SIRT2 modulation the basis for the broad-spectrum anti-infective activity of these agents? In some cases, the role of SIRT2 as the pharmacological target has been documented by showing that multiple, structurally distinct SIRT2 modulators inhibit the replication of a pathogen. For example, HCMV replication and spread is inhibited by the SIRT2 modulators FLS-359 (IC₅₀, 0.5 μ M), AGK2 (IC₅₀, 3.4 μ M), and AK-7 (IC₅₀, 8.2 μ M), albeit at different potencies, confirming SIRT2 as a critical target [\[22\]](#page-18-14). In other instances, the role of SIRT2 has been verified by documenting similar inhibitory results following pharmacological versus genetic perturbation of SIRT2. In hepatitis B virus (HBV)-infected cells, AGK2 treatment, shRNA-mediated knockdown of SIRT2, or treatment with a SIRT2 dominant negative variant, reduced HBc protein expression, HBV core particle formation, and viral DNA accumulation [\[35\]](#page-19-2). These results, coupled with the observation that a second, unrelated SIRT2 modulator, FLS-359, inhibits HBV cccDNA formation and viral gene expression [\[34\]](#page-19-1), make a compelling case for the role of SIRT2 in HBV infection and establish SIRT2 as a relevant pharmacological target of AGK2. Similar experiments utilizing both AGK2 and SIRT2-specific shRNAs have confirmed the importance of SIRT2 as a target during the intracellular growth of *L. monocytogenes* [\[18\]](#page-18-10) and *M. tuberculosis* [\[42\]](#page-19-9). Of note, both bacterial infections caused the nuclear accumulation of SIRT2, which normally resides predominantly in the cytoplasm, inducing an altered host cell transcriptome that appears likely to support the growth of the pathogens; AGK2 treatment significantly reversed the changes. There are instances where SIRT2 knockdown experiments are not consistent with the results of pharmacological treatments, presumably resulting from selective drug modulation of SIRT2 activities, as discussed below.

As for all drugs, off-target activities can lead to toxicity, but might also contribute to antiviral efficacy. As a case in point, tenovin-1 inhibits the growth of flaviviruses and bunyaviruses [\[39,](#page-19-6)[40\]](#page-19-7). In addition to modulating SIRT1 and 2 [\[28\]](#page-18-19), tenovins inhibit dihydroorotate dehydrogenase (DHODH), a key enzyme in the pyrimidine synthesis pathway and also block uridine uptake in cultured cells [\[44\]](#page-19-11). These activities likely contribute to the antiviral activity of tenovin-1.

Table 2. Structures of reported SIRT2 modulators. **Table 2.** Structures of reported SIRT2 modulators. **Table 2.** Structures of reported SIRT2 modulators.

of EX \sim 1277 (SiRT \sim modulator) plus AGK2 (SiRT2 modulator) was not anti-viral; j AK-7 did not reduce reduce

of EX \sim 1277 (SiRT \sim modulator) plus AGK2 (SiRT2 modulator) was not anti-viral; j AK-7 did not reduce reduce

of EX \sim 1277 (Sir \sim modulator) plus AGK2 (Sir \sim anti \sim anti \sim \sim

of EX \sim 1277 (SiRT \sim 1277 modulator) was not anti-viral; j AK-7 did not anti-viral; j AK-7 did not reduce

^a First synthesis report of indicated molecule.

$\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ sum, SIRT2 modulators can mitigate the growth of multiple intracellular pathogeneral so far far and the modular pathogens of interactions of interactions of S $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ sum, SIRT2 modulators can mitigate the growth of multiple intracellular pathogeneration of $\frac{1}{2}$ modulators of $\frac{1}{2}$ modulators Ω , \mathbf{P}_{in}^* denote the growth of CIDTQ \mathbf{M}_{in} ded to multiple intracellular patho- $\frac{1}{2}$ far, there is the intraction reports of $\frac{1}{2}$ model intractions resistant to $\frac{1}{2}$ 3. Biochemistry of SIRT2 Modulators

$0.1.$ SINIZ is of or interacellular backeria have evolved, that some viruses or interacellular backeria have evolved, that some viruses or interacellular backeria have evolved, that is concerned, that is concerned, that 3.1. SIRT2 Isoforms

Three mRNA splice variants produce three known SIRT2 protein isoforms [45]. isoform 1 (SIRT2.1) is the full-length, 398 amino acid isoform; SIRT2 isoform 2 (SIRT2.2) lacks amino acids $1-37$ that are present in the full-ler (SIRT2.5) lacks amino acids 6-76. SIRT2.1 and 2.2 are predominantly cytoplasmic but move between the nucleus and cytoplasm and can accumulate in the nucleus altering the original transcriptome under specific physiological conditions, for example, mitosis [46,47] noted above, bacterial infection [18,42]. SIRT2.5 lacks the nuclear export sequence p \mathcal{L} . but it is concerned, that some virus ulation, but it is concerned, that some virus or interacted that some virus or interacted, that is concerned, that is concerne are the interaction of the identified product that is product that is $\frac{1}{2}$. p_{S} modulation access by SIRT2 modulation access by SIRT2 modulation and p_{S} modulat degrading the entry that are present in the tun terms. between the nucleus and cytoplasm and can accumulate in the nucleus altering the cellular transcriptome under specific physiological conditions, for example, mitosis [\[46,](#page-19-13)[47\]](#page-19-14) or, as nation-plente direct of center priyological conditions, for example, integer projection
noted above, bacterial infection [\[18](#page-18-10)[,42\]](#page-19-9). SIRT2.5 lacks the nuclear export sequence present in SIRT2.1 and 2.2, which is located at amino acids 31–41, and appears to be exclusively Three mRNA splice variants produce three known SIRT2 protein isoforms [\[45\]](#page-19-12). SIRT2 lacks amino acids $1-37$ that are present in the full-length protein; and SIRT2 isoform 5 nuclear. Purified SIRT2.5 is inactive in biochemical assays, raising the possibility that it requires an as yet unidentified cofactor or that it performs a non-enzymatic role in

the nucleus [\[45\]](#page-19-12). SIRT2.1 and 2.2 can remove a variety of protein modifications at the ε-position of the lysine side chain (Table [3\)](#page-5-0) [\[48](#page-19-15)[,49\]](#page-19-16), but most biochemical studies have focused on deacetylation.

Table 3. Lysine modifications targeted by SIRT2 in biochemical assays.

^a Modified peptides are limited to one example and references are limited to one report; ^b PDH-E2, pyruvate dehydrogenase E2 component; ^c PKM2, pyruvate kinase M2.

3.2. SIRT2 Catalytic Mechanism 3.2. SIRT2 Catalytic Mechanism

SIRT2 shares a conserved active site with the seven SIRT family members that catalyze the removal of fatty acyl chains from the acylated lysines of substrate proteins (Figure [1\)](#page-5-1). lyze the removal of fatty acyl chains from the acylated lysines of substrate proteins (Figure The catalytic mechanism of SIRT2 deacylation has been delineated in detail [\[14,](#page-18-6)[55](#page-19-22)[–58\]](#page-20-0). 1). The catalytic mechanism of SIRT2 deacylation has been delineated in detail [14,55–58]. Briefly, the reaction begins with the formation of an alkylamidate intermediate between Briefly, the reaction begins with the formation of an alkylamidate intermediate between the acyl lysine substrate and NAD⁺ , with the release of nicotinamide. A conserved SIRT the acyl lysine substrate and NAD+, with the release of nicotinamide. A conserved SIRT histidine residue then deprotonates the ribose 2′ -OH, which in turn attacks the amidate histidine residue then deprotonates the ribose *2′*-OH, which in turn attacks the amidate carbon to generate an intermediate that decomposes to produce 2′ -*O*-acyl-ADP-ribose, carbon to generate an intermediate that decomposes to produce *2′*-*O*-acyl-ADP-ribose, plus the deacylated lysine. The products produced are the deacylated product protein, plus the deacylated lysine. The products produced are the deacylated product protein, nicotinamide, and *O*-acyl-ADP-ribose (Figure [1\)](#page-5-1). Of note, high concentrations of the released nicotinamide can feedback and inhibit the enzyme. leased nicotinamide can feedback and inhibit the enzyme.

Figure 1. Summary of SIRT2-mediated deacylation. SIRT2 KDAC consumes co-factor NAD⁺ to deacylate a substrate protein, producing the deacylated product protein, nicotinamide, and 2[']-Oribose (2'-*O*-AADPR). R in the substrate protein stands for the acyl chain that can be of varying ADP-ribose (2'-*O*-AADPR). R in the substrate protein stands for the acyl chain that can be of varying length, degree of saturation, and charge, along with other chemically diverse substituents. length, degree of saturation, and charge, along with other chemically diverse substituents.

3.3. Structures of SIRT2 Modulators Bound to the Enzyme 3.3. Structures of SIRT2 Modulators Bound to the Enzyme

X-ray structures have been reported for multiple SIRTs, including SIRT2, and consist of two domains: a smaller domain with a Zn++-binding site and a larger domain with a of two domains: a smaller domain with a Zn++-binding site and a larger domain with a Rossmann fold. The two domains form a clamshell, separated by a groove, that accommo- $R_{\rm ODE}$ and $R_{\rm ODE}$ and $R_{\rm ODE}$ are two domains form a commonly operator ϵ is a groove, that accommodates an acyl lysine peptide substrate. In addition to the acyl lysine binding pocket, the dates an acyl lysine peptide substrate. In addition to the acyl lysine binding pocket, theX-ray structures have been reported for multiple SIRTs, including SIRT2, and consist

active site has been divided into three sites: A and B interact with the ADP ribose of the cofactor NAD^+ and C contacts its nicotinamide moiety (Figure [2a](#page-6-0)). The reaction product, nicotinamide, binds within the C pocket, causing $NAD⁺$ to bind SIRT2 nonproductively until nicotinamide is released and, thereby, nicotinamide can act as a feedback inhibitor of the enzyme [\[59\]](#page-20-1). An additional hydrophobic pocket beside the C site is termed the extended C (EC) site [\[60\]](#page-20-2) (Figure [2a](#page-6-0)). This EC pocket accommodates extended acyl moieties, such as myristoyl groups [\[61\]](#page-20-3) (Figure [2a](#page-6-0)). The SIRT2 inhibitor, SirReal2 [\[62\]](#page-20-4), was shown to bind within the EC site (Figure [2b](#page-6-0)), but its occupancy does not interfere with the binding of either NAD⁺ or nicotinamide. Rather, SirReal2 extends into the substrate binding groove and forms a drug-binding pocket that extends beyond the EC site, causing a rearrangement of the SIRT2 active site (Figure [2b](#page-6-0)). This selectivity pocket was named as such to recognize the SIRT2-selective nature of the compounds that induce its formation [\[62–](#page-20-4)[65\]](#page-20-5). The X-ray structure determination of FLS-359 (Figure [2c](#page-6-0)) and Glide docking of AGK2 (Figure [2d](#page-6-0)) [\[66\]](#page-20-6) position both antiviral SIRT2-selective compounds in the EC plus selectivity pockets. Interestingly, modeling predicts that sirtinol, which modulates SIRT1 and SIRT2, occupies the SIRT2 selectivity pocket and nicotinamide binding site (Figure [2e](#page-6-0)).

Figure 2. Structures of SIRT2 modulators bound within the SIRT2 active site. (**a**) Binding pockets **Figure 2.** Structures of SIRT2 modulators bound within the SIRT2 active site. (**a**) Binding pockets bin the SIRT2 active site (demarcated by a purple cloud) accommodate NAD^+ (purple c within the SIRT2 active site (demarcated by a purple cloud) accommodate NAD^+ (purple carbon

atoms, PDB ID 4X3P) as follows: the A pocket binds the adenine ring, the B pocket binds the diphosphate group, and the C pocket binds the nicotinamide ring (orange carbon atoms, PDB ID 6L71). The extended C pocket (EC pocket) binds inhibitors, such as FLS-359 and SirReal2, and acetyl peptides (acetyl-lysine peptide, green carbon atoms, PDB ID 4RMH; myristoyl-lysine peptide, cyan carbon atoms, PDB ID 4X3P). The peptide-binding channel is a solvent-exposed, hydrophobic tunnel that extends from the protein surface to the catalytic site, accommodating acyl lysine residues within substrate proteins. (**b**–**e**) The acetyl peptide (green carbon atoms) was superimposed on the structures, as well as the portion of NAD⁺ sitting within the C pocket (purple carbon atoms), except for (b) where the solved crystal structure included NAD⁺. (b) Crystal structure of SirReal2 (PDB: 4RMG) and NAD⁺ bound to SIRT2. (**c**) Crystal structure of FLS-359 bound to SIRT2 (PDB ID 7T1D). (**d**,**e**) To dock modulators into SIRT2, crystal structures of the target proteins were retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) and prepared using the Schrodinger Maestro software (Release 2024-2, Version 14.0). Hydrogens were added, missing residues were added, and the protein was optimized by minimizing its energy through the OPLS_2005 force field in the Maestro protein preparation module. The ligand structures were prepared and the energy was minimized prior to docking using the Maestro Ligprep module. Docking simulations were performed using the Schrodinger Glide module (Release 2024-2). Glide's extra precision (XP) mode was employed to generate docking poses, with a grid box centered on the FLS-359 ligand (PDB ID 7T1D). Post-docking minimization was performed on all poses generated from the Glide docking. (**d**) Lowest energy docking model of AGK2 bound to SIRT2. (**e**) Lowest energy docking model of sirtinol bound to SIRT2.

3.4. SIRT2 Modulators Demonstrating Anti-Infective Activity Are Allosteric Partial Modulators

SIRT2 remains partially active in deacetylase assays when treated with saturating amounts of SirReal2, FLS-359, AGK2, or MIND4 [\[67\]](#page-20-7). These SIRT2-modulating compounds primarily occupy the EC/selectivity pockets, allowing NAD⁺ to occupy its binding site within the A, B, and C pockets, and an acetyl peptide to occupy the acyl lysine binding pocket and undergo catalysis at a reduced rate. This observation is consistent with an allosteric binding mechanism, a mode of action supported by enzyme kinetic studies [\[22,](#page-18-14)[62\]](#page-20-4) and movement in the FLS-359/SIRT2 structure [\[22\]](#page-18-14), compared to the SIRT2 apo structure [\[68\]](#page-20-8). The partial inhibition of SIRT2 activity might prove to be advantageous for therapeutic uses, by inhibiting intracellular pathogens, while avoiding host cell toxicity that could arise from complete inhibition of the enzyme.

3.5. SIRT2 Partial Allosteric Modulators Are Acyl-Substrate Selective

While FLS-359 and AGK2 inhibit deacetylation, they do not inhibit demyristoylation by SIRT2, consistent with modeling that predicts that FLS-359 is displaced from the enzyme when a myristoyl peptide extends fully into the acyl lysine groove [\[22\]](#page-18-14). Thus, these compounds exhibit SIRT2 acyl substrate selectivity [\[22\]](#page-18-14), a phenomenon that has been described for a variety of enzymes [\[69\]](#page-20-9). These compounds inhibit deacylation of some but not all SIRT2 acyl substrates. One of the consequences of substrate selectivity is that genetic knockdown or knockout experiments, which ablate all enzyme activities, might not produce the same biological outcome as compounds that selectively inhibit or activate some, but not all, activities of the target. This has been observed for the FLS-359-mediated inhibition of human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) and influenza A virus growth. Although the SIRT2 modulator inhibits their replication in cultured cells (Table [1\)](#page-3-0), the knockdown of SIRT2 exhibited the opposite effect, modestly increasing the yield of these two very different viruses by several fold [\[70\]](#page-20-10). As noted above, multiple SIRT2-selective modulators with very different chemical structures inhibit HCMV growth [\[22\]](#page-18-14), consistent with the view that their antiviral effect is mediated through SIRT2 and results from the selective modulation of SIRT2 activities rather than the complete ablation of enzyme activity. It is not yet clear how broadly the acyl group selectivity of SIRT2 modulators impacts their antiviral activity across distinct virus families and intracellular bacteria. The identification of specific SIRT2 deacylation activities that support pathogen growth should facilitate the design of a new generation of more efficacious, broad-spectrum antiviral drugs.

4. Tolerability and Pharmacology of SIRT2 Modulation

Young SIRT2^{-/-} [\[71\]](#page-20-11) and SIRT2/3^{-/-} [\[72\]](#page-20-12) mice are healthy; although they have been reported to develop mammary tumors and other abnormalities associated with advanced age or predisposing treatments [\[73](#page-20-13)[–76\]](#page-20-14). Although these observations raise concerns, they are mitigated by the fact that antiviral SIRT2 modulators, such as FLS-359 and AGK2, are acyl substrate-selective, partial inhibitors and would likely elicit different biological effects than the genetic knockout of SIRT2. Further, the acute nature of many infections should minimize the duration of the treatment; and the long-lasting antiviral effect of FLS-359, following the treatment of HCMV-infected cells, raises the possibility that dosing schedules can be refined to minimize drug exposure [\[22\]](#page-18-14).

The presumed barrier to drug resistance of host SIRT2-targeted molecules also suggests that anti-infective dosing can be minimized. Direct-acting anti-infectives are generally administered at high multiples of their IC_{90} [\[77,](#page-20-15)[78\]](#page-20-16). For example, for the influenza neuraminidase inhibitor, oseltamivir carboxylate, the IC_{50} is 0.7–2.2 and 0.2–0.6 nM against the widely circulating influenza A strains, H5N1 and H3N2, respectively [\[79\]](#page-20-17). The standard, twice daily, 75 mg adult dose, achieves a minimum oseltamivir plasma concentration of ~330 nM, greater than 50 times the IC_{90} [\[80,](#page-20-18)[81\]](#page-20-19). This dosing schedule ensures the effective distribution of antiviral drug concentrations to infected tissues and mitigates the evolution of viral resistance. Since pathogens are not likely to become resistant to host-targeted agents, this class of anti-infectives might be used in clinical doses to cover lower multiples of their IC_{90} to achieve a therapeutic window. This potential feature of SIRT2 modulators can be explored in animal models to find a window to achieve anti-infective effectiveness, while minimizing toxicity.

FLS-359 PK was evaluated in BALB/c mice [\[22\]](#page-18-14). Following a single 50 mg/kg p.o, the drug exhibited an ~6 h plasma half-life, reaching a maximal plasma concentration (C_{max}) of 89 μ M. The favorable half-life and C_{max} resulted in good exposure, with an AUC of 713 µM·h/mL. No weight loss or adverse clinical signs were observed after 14 days of dosing at 50 mg/kg b.i.d. The antiviral activity of FLS-359 was tested in two humanized mouse models of HCMV infection, namely the gel foam model, in which human fibroblasts growing in a collagen matrix are implanted into immunodeficient mice [\[82](#page-20-20)[,83\]](#page-21-0), and the lung only model [\[84,](#page-21-1)[85\]](#page-21-2), where human lung tissue is implanted. FLS-359 reduced virus production in both models [\[22\]](#page-18-14).

AGK2 administered at 20–82 mg/kg i.p. reduced pathogen load after *M. tuberculosis* challenge in BALB/c mice [\[42\]](#page-19-9), HSV-1 challenge in C57BL/6 mice [\[37\]](#page-19-4), or in HBV transgenic C57BL/6 mice [\[36\]](#page-19-3). AK-7 administered at 15 mg/kg i.p. reduced the bacterial load after *S. typhimurium* challenge in C57BL/6 mice [\[43\]](#page-19-10).

5. Anti-Infective Mechanisms of SIRT2 Modulators

SIRT2 impacts numerous cellular functions, ranging from innate defenses to transcription to metabolism, providing many opportunities for SIRT2 modulators to influence the course of an infection. Indeed, it seems likely that any pathogen inhibited by a SIRT2 modulator will likely be impacted by multiple SIRT2-regulated mechanisms. Many cellautonomous consequences of SIRT2 modulation have been described in the context of cancer and have been reviewed recently [\[86](#page-21-3)[–90\]](#page-21-4).

5.1. Microtubule Activity

A signature feature of cytoplasmic SIRT2.1 and SIRT2.2 [\[91\]](#page-21-5), together with KDAC6 [\[92\]](#page-21-6), is their ability to deacetylate the K40 residue of α -tubulin. AGK2 primarily causes hyperacetylation of perinuclear microtubules, in contrast to the KDAC inhibitor tubacin, which increases α -tubulin acetylation throughout the cell, suggesting that the activities of SIRT2 and KDAC6 are influenced by the structural environment of the target microtubules [\[93\]](#page-21-7). This activity can have major consequences for intracellular pathogens [\[94](#page-21-8)[,95\]](#page-21-9), controlling the dynamics of intracellular movements of the invader's components. In the case of HCMV, microtubule activity is critical for the structure and function of the perinuclear assembly zone [\[96\]](#page-21-10), where capsids are assembled into virions. The potential consequences of SIRT2 modulation on the function of this virus-induced organelle, as well as the infectious process of other viruses and intracellular bacteria, await study.

5.2. Innate Defense

The cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS), the stimulator of interferon genes (STING) pathway, is a key element of innate immunity [\[97\]](#page-21-11). Moreover, cGAS is a cytoplasmic receptor that recognizes inappropriately localized DNA and triggers the production of cyclic GMP-AMP (cGAMP) that induces TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1)-mediated phosphorylation of interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3). IRF3 then moves to the nucleus and activates the transcription of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs), including the type 1 interferon. The stress granule protein, GTPase-activating protein SH3 domain-binding protein 1 (G3BP1), binds to cGAS, promoting DNA binding and its activation [\[98,](#page-21-12)[99\]](#page-21-13). Depletion of G3BP1 reduces interferon production via the cGAS pathway. SIRT2 has been reported to negatively regulate the cGAS–STING pathway, by deacetylating G3BP1 at K257, K276, and K376, and blocking the G3BP1–cGAS interaction [\[37\]](#page-19-4). Consistent with the role of SIRT2, AGK2 reduced HSV-1 production in cultured cells several fold, and also reduced the virus load and extended the survival of the mice in a lethal model of HSV-1 infection, increasing the expression of ISGs. Importantly, the cGAS inhibitor, RU.521, counteracted the beneficial effect of AGK2 in mouse experiments [\[37\]](#page-19-4), confirming the mechanism. It will be very interesting to learn whether the effect of SIRT2 on the cGAS–STING response broadly inhibits the growth of DNA viruses in cell culture and animal models.

In contrast to inhibiting the cGAS–STING pathway, SIRT2 has been reported to promote type 1 interferon signaling. This was explored by testing SIRT2−/[−] versus SIRT2+/+ mouse fibroblasts or by evaluating SIRT2-specific shRNA-treated SIRT2+/+ mouse cells. SIRT2 deacetylates cyclin-dependent kinase 9 (CDK9), enhancing its ability to phosphorylate and activate the signal transducer and activator of transcription-1 (STAT1). For most interferon-responsive genes, the inhibitory effect of SIRT2 depletion was partial and SIRT2 modulators were not tested. This result raises the possibility that SIRT2 modulators may differentially modulate the cGAS–STING response, versus signaling through the type 1 interferon receptor. It is not yet clear whether this could antagonize the anti-infective activities of SIRT2 modulation, or whether it might prove beneficial and reduce inflammation associated with the response to infection.

G3BP1 also modulates the growth of numerous RNA viruses via multiple mechanisms [\[100\]](#page-21-14), but the role of SIRT2 in these activities has not yet been evaluated. Of note, G3BP1 increases type I interferon production by the retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) RNA receptor in response to viral dsRNA [\[101](#page-21-15)[,102\]](#page-21-16), raising the possibility that SIRT2 modulators may prove to enhance the innate immune response to both DNA and RNA viruses.

5.3. Intracellular Signaling

The AKT (aka protein kinase B, PKB; a serine/threonine kinase) pathway has been studied in the context of SIRT2 modulation during infection (Figure [3\)](#page-10-0). Its activation and regulation are complex [\[103,](#page-21-17)[104\]](#page-21-18). Multiple upstream cell sensors, such as receptor tyrosine kinases, activate specific phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) isoforms to produce phosphatidylinositol 3, 4, 5-triphosphate (PIP3), which then serves as a plasma membrane docking site for AKT and pyruvate dehydrogenase 1 (PDK1) via their pleckstrin homology (PH) domains. PKD1 phosphorylates AKT at T308, an activating modification, and mTORC2 phosphorylates AKT at S473 to achieve full activation.

phosphorylates AKT at S473 to achieve full activation.

phosphorylation of S473 via the PI3K pathway. SIRT2.1 is stimulated to bind to AKT via AMPKmediated phosphorylation at SIRT2.1 T101. SIRT2.1 T101P binds to AKT through its PH and catalytic domains, and presumably deacylates a target lysine within AKT to stimulate maximal interaction with PI3K, leading to phosphorylation and activation of AKT. Activated AKT potentially has many consequences in the cytoplasm, including induction of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family members such consequences in the cytoplasm, including induction of anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 family members such as Mcl-1, Bcl-xL, and XIAP. The PP2C family members PPM1A and PPM1B, which are present in **Figure 3.** SIRT2.1 modulation of the AKT pathway during infection. AKT is activated by the both cytoplasm and the nucleus, can dephosphylate SIRT2.1 S25, which then accumulates in the nucleus. Nuclear SIRT2 then deacetylates H3K18 and alters the cell's transcriptome to favor growth of the pathogen.

SIRT2 binds to AKT through its PH and catalytic domains and has been shown to drive its maximal activation in the context of insulin signaling [\[105\]](#page-21-19) and hepatocellular carcinoma cells [\[106\]](#page-21-20). Phosphorylation of SIRT2 at T101 by AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) stimulates SIRT2–AKT binding. Reduced SIRT2 activity reduces AKT activation, whereas SIRT2 overexpression increases AKT activation. As noted above, AKT activation involves T308 and S473 phosphorylation, and the SIRT2 modulator, AGK2, prevented AKT hyperphosphorylation at S473 in response to insulin or the epidermal growth factor (EGF) [\[105\]](#page-21-19). The basal level of activated AKT S473P was not reduced, suggesting that elevated, but not basal, AKT activity was blocked by the SIRT2 modulator.

AKT was first described as an oncogene, v-AKT, a gag–AKT fusion protein encoded by the AKT8 murine retrovirus [\[107\]](#page-21-21). The acquisition of the kinase into a viral genome was the first indication of its importance for viral replication. Multiple viruses have been shown to activate AKT [\[108\]](#page-21-22), including DNA viruses, such as herpesviruses [\[109\]](#page-21-23), human papillomavirus [\[110\]](#page-21-24), and hepatitis B virus [\[111\]](#page-22-0); RNA viruses like influenza [\[112\]](#page-22-1) and SARS-CoV-2 [\[113\]](#page-22-2); and the retrovirus HIV-1 [\[114](#page-22-3)[,115\]](#page-22-4). The PI3K/AKT pathway impacts numerous cell processes, including RNA processing and translation, metabolism, cell proliferation, and cell survival and, in many cases, activated AKT supports viral replication.

The activation of AKT can extend survival, preventing the premature death of virusinfected cells, allowing a virus to complete its replication cycle and spread. The herpesvirus, HCMV, provides an example, where SIRT2 has been shown to play a key role in this process [\[116\]](#page-22-5). HCMV establishes a quiescent infection in human monocytes, during which viral gene expression is suppressed. This quiescent state enables the virus to travel with monocytes throughout the infected individual, before eventually reactivating when the monocyte differentiates into a macrophage [\[117](#page-22-6)[,118\]](#page-22-7). However, the lifespan of a monocyte is limited, they survive only for 48–72 h before undergoing apoptosis. HCMV infection blocks this process, markedly extending the lifespan of quiescently infected monocytes [\[119\]](#page-22-8). This is accomplished as the HCMV virion engages the monocyte. It induces phosphorylation of AKT at S473 [\[120\]](#page-22-9), activating the kinase, which in turn upregulates the expression of the anti-apoptotic Bcl2 family member, myeloid cell leukemia sequence 1 (Mcl-1) [\[119\]](#page-22-8)

(Figure [3\)](#page-10-0). The virus then establishes a long-lived quiescent infection in the monocyte. The SIRT2 modulator FLS-359 can block HCMV-induced phosphorylation of AKT at S473 in primary human monocytes and prevent the downstream accumulation of Mcl-1 [\[116\]](#page-22-5). This leads to the death of the infected monocytes and, therefore, has the potential to block a key element of HCMV persistence and spread in infected individuals. SIRT2 modulation of AKT activation has also been shown to play a key role in the expression of HBV-coded RNAs, and this is discussed below.

Like SIRT2, SIRT1 has been reported to stimulate the activation of AKT [\[121\]](#page-22-10). Acetylation of two lysine residues in the AKT PH domain, K14 and K20, can inhibit AKT binding to PIP3, and SIRT1 can deacetylate AKT, promoting its interaction with PIP3 and activating the kinase. SIRT1^{-/-} mouse fibroblasts showed reduced insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1)-mediated activation of AKT compared to SIRT1+/+ cells. It is likely that SIRT1 and SIRT2 act similarly to deacetylate the AKT PH domain and promote its activation, and the basal AKT activity observed in cells treated with the SIRT2-selective inhibitor AGK2 [\[105\]](#page-21-19), might result from the continuing action of SIRT1. It is possible that dual SIRT1/2 modulators, such as sirtinol and tenovin-1, may prove to more severely inhibit AKT activation and, therefore, more stringently control AKT-dependent antiviral mechanisms. Of course, potentially increased antiviral activity resulting from greater inhibition of AKT activation might be accompanied by increased toxicity.

5.4. Host Cell and Viral Transcription

SIRT2.1 and SIRT2.2 reside predominantly in the cytoplasm, but they can be relocalized to the nucleus during infection via an AKT-dependent process (Figure [3\)](#page-10-0). This was first shown for the intracellular bacterium, *L. monocytogenes* [\[18\]](#page-18-10). The *L. monocytogenes*-coded virulence factor, InlB, induced the nuclear accumulation of SIRT2. The nuclear localization was blocked by AKT inhibitors or a dominant negative p85 AKT regulatory subunit. In the nucleus, SIRT2 deacetylated H3K18 at transcriptional start sites and altered the host transcriptome: 158 host cell genes were activated and 272 were repressed. Importantly, the SIRT2 modulator, AGK2, substantially blocked the infection-induced changes. Further, although AGK2 was not toxic to free growing bacteria, it reduced bacterial growth in infected cells in culture and in mice. Presumably, nuclear SIRT2 imposes a host cell transcriptional program that favors the growth of *L. monocytogenes* and a block to this program by AGK2 inhibits growth of the pathogen. Exactly how AKT promotes nuclear localization of SIRT2.1 remains unclear. However, a subsequent report [\[122\]](#page-22-11) showed that infection induces a complex between SIRT2 and the phosphatases PPM1A and PPM1B that localizes to chromatin and leads to the dephosphorylation of SIRT2 S25 (Figure [3\)](#page-10-0), which is required for its nuclear accumulation and H3K18 deacetylation activity.

A similar SIRT2 nuclear localization and altered host cell transcriptome has been reported for *M. tuberculosis*-infected macrophages, where AKT is activated [\[42\]](#page-19-9). Again, AGK2 treatment inhibited AKT activation, substantially reversed the altered transcriptional program, and inhibited the intracellular growth of the pathogen. A major problem with the treatment of *M. tuberculosis* using currently available, direct-acting drugs is that the pathogen readily evolves drug resistance. Host-targeted AGK2 inhibited the growth of drug-sensitive, multiple drug-resistant, and extensively drug-resistant *M. tuberculosis* isolates and it cooperated with the standard-of-care, isoniazid, to inhibit *M. tuberculosis* infection in mice [\[42\]](#page-19-9), emphasizing the potential utility of SIRT2 modulators in the clinic.

Not surprisingly, in addition to the effects on cellular transcription, SIRT2 modulators can target the expression of genes coded by the infecting agent. SIRT2.1 is increased following HBV infection; the overexpression of SIRT2.1 increased the levels of viral RNAs in infected cells, as well as the activity of all HBV promoter/enhancer elements in luciferase reporter assays, and AGK2 reduced the expression of viral RNAs [\[35\]](#page-19-2). AKT was activated (T308P and S473P) via its interaction with SIRT2 and AGK2 inhibited the activation, suggesting that AKT could play a similar role in the viral infection as documented for the bacterial infections discussed above. A similar inhibitory effect on HBV RNA accumulation

and promoter activity was observed for FLS-359; in this study, the SIRT2 modulator was also shown to inhibit the establishment of cccDNA at a point after the virus had entered the cell, when the drug was present at the start of the infection [\[34\]](#page-19-1). In another study, FLS-359 inhibited the expression of all the temporal classes of HCMV mRNAs [\[22\]](#page-18-14), similar to the effect on HBV. The potential translocation of SIRT2.1 and/or SIRT2.2 to the nucleus has not been reported for these viral systems.

One might have anticipated an opposite effect of SIRT2 modulators on viral transcription if they are acting to inhibit nuclear SIRT2, i.e., that treatment with AGK2 and FLS-359 would lead to hyperacetylation of viral chromatin, a state that generally favors active transcription [\[123\]](#page-22-12). Perhaps an indirect mechanism, such as the enhanced expression of a cell-coded repressor, inhibits viral transcription. In this regard, p53 has been reported to bind HBV enhancer regions and reduce HBV transcriptional activity [\[124\]](#page-22-13). Moreover, p53 can activate or repress transcription [\[125\]](#page-22-14) and its DNA-binding activity is modulated by the acetylation of its C-terminal lysines [\[126\]](#page-22-15). The SIRT1/2 modulator, sirtinol [\[127,](#page-22-16)[128\]](#page-22-17), as well as two related SIRT2 modulators, AEM1 and AEM2 [\[129\]](#page-22-18), induce the hyperacetylation of p53 at K382 within its C-terminal domain. The hyperacetylation of p53 in its C-terminus enhances its DNA-binding activity, suggesting that SIRT1/2 or SIRT2 modulators could facilitate the binding of p53 to HBV enhancers in its inhibitory mode, as has already been proposed [\[130\]](#page-22-19). Further analysis is needed to ascertain whether p53 contributes to the inhibition of HBV and HCMV transcription by SIRT2 modulators.

5.5. Central Carbon Metabolism and Lipid Metabolism

SIRT2 regulates many aspects of metabolism [\[49,](#page-19-16)[131–](#page-22-20)[133\]](#page-22-21), including enzymes that drive glycolysis, the TCA cycle, oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos), and lipid synthesis. Multiple viruses also induce aerobic glycolysis and OxPhos [\[134\]](#page-22-22). So, in this instance, SIRT2 modulators might support aspects of viral replication; although it is possible that the combination of SIRT2 modulation plus viral infection could lead to the high consumption of glucose and the resulting buildup of lactic acid in the microenvironment of infected cells could be detrimental to viral replication. Many viruses also modulate lipid synthesis, for example, the enveloped DNA virus, HCMV, and the "quasi-enveloped" hepatitis A virus [\[135,](#page-22-23)[136\]](#page-23-0) induces the synthesis of and requires very long-chain fatty acids. Fatty acid synthesis starts with the export of citrate, produced in the TCA cycle, from the mitochondria to cytoplasm, where it is converted to acetyl-CoA and oxaloacetate by ATP citrate lyase (ACLY), and then the acetyl-CoA is converted by acetyl-CoA carboxylase into malonyl-CoA. This is the first committed step in fatty acid synthesis. SIRT2 deacetylates and inhibits ACLY, reducing de novo synthesis of fatty acids and, again, SIRT2 modulators would be expected to support fatty acid synthesis. As a deeper understanding of the role of SIRT2 in metabolism develops, potential antiviral mechanisms of SIRT2 modulators are likely to emerge. In this regard, it is intriguing to note that the treatment of HCMV-infected cells with an elongase 7 inhibitor to block very long-chain fatty acid synthesis [\[137\]](#page-23-1) and the treatment of infected cells with the SIRT2 modulator, FLS-359 [\[22\]](#page-18-14), both induce the production of virus particles that are not infectious. Conceivably, the treatments produce the same outcome because they both block the production of very long-chain fatty acids and alter the lipid composition of the virion.

5.6. Acetylation of Viral Proteins

In a study of HCMV-coded protein acetylation [\[138\]](#page-23-2), 32 acetylated lysine residues were identified in virus-coded intracellular and/or virion proteins. Acetylated pUL26 K203 was detected in the intracellular and virion pUL26 protein. The function of the modification was explored by generating viruses carrying an acetyl lysine mimic, pUL26 Q203, or a charge mimic, pUL26 R203. The acetyl lysine mimic reduced the virus yield by several fold, whereas the charge mimic enhanced the yield, suggesting that SIRT2 modulators might reduce HCMV growth, in part, by enhancing the acetylation of virus-coded pUL26. If this is the case, then the virus could potentially evolve resistance to this mode of inhibition via the

acquisition of a pUL26 mutation that abrogates inhibition by a SIRT2 modulator. Acetylated lysines have been identified in proteins encoded by a variety of other viruses [\[139\]](#page-23-3), so SIRT2 modulators might act directly on viral proteins, i.e., as direct-acting antivirals, in multiple instances. If this proves to be a significant mode of antiviral activity, then it might prove possible to isolate viruses resistant to SIRT2 modulators. However, this seems unlikely, since multiple additional host cell-targeted antiviral activities would presumably also be at play. Further, in the specific case of HCMV pUL26, the modest effect of the acetylation mimic on the virus yield (several fold) cannot account for the \sim 10,000-fold reduction in HCMV infectivity, following treatment with FLS-359 [\[22\]](#page-18-14).

Given the plethora of SIRT2 targets within an infected cell, it seems likely that multiple mechanisms cooperate to inhibit viral growth in response to SIRT2 modulators, with some mechanisms exerting a greater anti-infective effect than others, depending on the pathogen and cell type.

6. Potential Therapeutic Utility of SIRT2 Modulators as Anti-Infective Agents

6.1. Combined Cell Autonomous Effects of SIRT2 Modulation

The antiviral mechanisms described above can presumably generate a broad-spectrum antiviral state, perhaps with different SIRT2-mediated mechanisms impacting and controlling different pathogens. This can be highly beneficial in multiple clinical applications. Immunosuppressed transplant patients provide a prime example. These patients are at risk from heightened susceptibility to adventitious pathogens in donor tissues or their environment that include herpesviruses, polyomaviruses, hepadnaviruses, and emerging viruses [\[140](#page-23-4)[–142\]](#page-23-5), several of which are already known to be inhibited by SIRT2 modulators (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Broad-spectrum activity, combined with a block to viral resistance, has the potential to improve outcomes in this patient population.

And, when the next pandemic begins, a range of broad-spectrum SIRT2 modulators can immediately be taken off the shelf, tested, and potentially deployed to treat the new threat. This could benefit patients, months or even years before safe and effective direct-acting antivirals can be produced, while markedly reducing the cost of new drug development.

The broad-spectrum feature of SIRT2 modulators is not new to the antibiotic world, but host targeting should markedly, if not completely, reduce the burden of bacterial drug resistance.

6.2. Immune Modulation

SIRT2 has been described as a master regulator of T cell metabolism [\[131](#page-22-20)[,132\]](#page-22-24). In these cells, SIRT2 interacts with eight of ten glycolytic enzymes and four of eight TCA cycle enzymes, and SIRT2 restrains aerobic glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation (OxPhos) during T cell activation and maturation. Most of these proteins were hyperacetylated in SIRT2−/[−] cells, where glycolysis and OxPhos were elevated. SIRT2−/[−] T cells displayed increased proliferation, survival, and effector functions, such as interferon- γ production [\[131\]](#page-22-20). Consistent with these results, the SIRT2 modulators, AGK2 and thiomyristoyl (TM), increased aerobic glycolysis, OxPhos, and interferon-γ production in human CD3⁺ T cells, and SIRT2 modulation also enhanced the cytotoxic activity of human tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) versus their autologous tumor cells. Finally, consistent with the in vitro results, transplanted SIRT2−/[−] CD8⁺ T cells inhibited the growth of the immunologically cold murine B16F10 melanoma model [\[131\]](#page-22-20). All of this to say, genetic ablation or the modulation of SIRT2 can activate T cells, even in the tumor microenvironment, where their function is normally suppressed.

The impact of SIRT2 modulators on T cell activation suggests their potential utility as cancer therapeutics, but is there also an opportunity for the treatment of infections? Chronic HBV infection usually exhibits weak virus-specific T cell reactivity [\[143\]](#page-23-6). This state of "T cell exhaustion" is characterized by poor effector cytotoxic activity, limited cytokine production, and limited expression of multiple inhibitory receptors, such as the programmed cell death1 (PD-1) receptor, and metabolic reprogramming has been proposed as a potential solution to the exhausted T cell phenotype [\[144\]](#page-23-7). Perhaps the effector activities of exhausted $CD4^+$ and CD8⁺ T cells in chronic HBV infection will respond to treatment with SIRT2 modulators or a combination of the SIRT2 modulator plus the PD-1 inhibitor. If so, this could potentially contribute to resolution of the disease.

The SIRT2 modulator AGK2 has also been shown to enhance the efficacy of the Bacille Calmette–Guerin (BCG) tuberculosis vaccine via its impact on memory T cells [\[145\]](#page-23-8), consistent with earlier work showing that these cells depend on glycolysis and OxPhos for energy [\[146\]](#page-23-9) and modulating energy metabolism facilitates the formation and maintenance of CD8⁺ memory T cells [\[147\]](#page-23-10). T helper cell function, memory, and cytotoxicity, very likely play important roles in optimal and long-lived immunity [\[148\]](#page-23-11). Given their ability to manipulate the T cell response, SIRT2 modulators have the potential to contribute to vaccinology as a broadly effective class of adjuvants.

6.3. Inflammation

The resolution of bacterial and viral infections can be complicated by severe inflammation. SIRT2 deacetylates NFKB p65 K310, and the hyperacetylation of K310 in SIRT2^{-/-} cells increases the expression of a subset of NFKB-responsive genes [\[149\]](#page-23-12). Since NFKB controls the expression of numerous genes involved in immune and inflammatory responses, genetic or pharmacological modulation of SIRT2 might be predicted to induce inflammation. Consistent with this view, bone marrow-derived murine macrophages treated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS; Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 ligand), triacylated lipopeptide (Pam3CSK4; TLR1/2 ligand), or the di-nucleotide CpG (TLR9 ligand) produced large amounts of proinflammatory TNF, IL6, and IL12-p40, and treatment with either of the SIRT2 modulators, AK-7 or AGK2, at the time of TLR stimulation failed to reduce the levels of the cytokines [\[150\]](#page-23-13). Similarly, relative to wild-type controls, SIRT2-/- mice treated with LPS accumulated hyperacetylated NF-KB and showed increases in pro-inflammatory cytokines. Further, in a model of traumatic brain injury, the SIRT2 modulator AK-7 increased K310 acetylation and upregulated pro-inflammatory cytokines, exacerbating neuroinflammation [\[151\]](#page-23-14).

However, there are also reports arguing that the manipulation of SIRT2 expression and activity can reduce inflammatory responses. Relative to $SIRT2^{+/+}$ mouse macrophages, LPS-treated SIRT2−/[−] macrophages exhibited reduced expression of the inflammatory mediator, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), reduced the production of reactive oxygen species, and reduced NF-KB activation [\[152\]](#page-23-15). Similarly, rat microglial cells treated with the SIRT2 modulator AGK2, prior to LPS exposure, exhibited significantly reduced production of the highly inflammatory lipid, prostaglandin E2 (PGE_2) [\[153\]](#page-23-16). AGK2 treatment also suppressed the expression of LPS-induced inflammatory cytokines (iNOS, TNF- α , and IL-1β) in murine microglial cells [\[154\]](#page-23-17). As a side issue, treatment with the SIRT1 inhibitor, EX527, also inhibited LPS-induced PGE_2 production [\[153\]](#page-23-16).

In sum, the role of SIRT2 in inflammation is likely tissue specific and context dependent [\[155\]](#page-23-18), and the impact of SIRT2 modulators on infection-associated inflammation remains unresolved.

6.4. Sepsis

"Sepsis is defined as life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by a dysregulated host response to infection" [\[156\]](#page-23-19). Its pathogenesis includes hyperinflammation; activation of coagulation, vascular epithelium, and complement; and immune suppression [\[157\]](#page-23-20). Sepsis progresses in two phases: an early, acute phase, characterized by hyperinflammation [\[158\]](#page-23-21) and a late, hypoinflammatory phase with the depletion of immune cells [\[159,](#page-23-22)[160\]](#page-23-23). Bacteria, viruses, fungi, and parasites can all cause sepsis; although there are differences among the sepsis syndromes induced by the different classes of pathogens [\[161\]](#page-23-24).

Several mouse studies employing the cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) model of sepsis suggest that SIRT2 modulators have potential therapeutic benefits in sepsis. In this model, a portion of the cecum is ligated and then punctured. Puncture results in polymicrobial peritonitis, bacteremia in the blood, septic shock, and multi-organ dysfunction, followed by death. The CLP model is the gold standard in terms of rodent sepsis models [\[162\]](#page-23-25); although there are some issues, such as young healthy mice being the subjects, whereas humans are generally older with co-morbidities; laboratory mice do not have a normal microbiome and the mouse model usually plays out over a considerably shorter timeframe than human disease [\[163,](#page-23-26)[164\]](#page-23-27). Older mice are more susceptible to CLP than younger mice [\[165\]](#page-23-28).

Using C57BL/6J mice, a single i.p. dose of AGK2 (82 mg/kg, $n = 9$) or vehicle alone was administered 2 h prior to initiation of the CLP, and the SIRT2 modulator improved survival to 55.6% versus 0% for the vehicle alone at 10 days post-procedure [\[166\]](#page-24-0). This study evaluated the effect of AGK2 on the development of the early, hyperinflammatory phase of sepsis, as the drug was administered before the initiation of the CLP, and it raises the possibility that SIRT2 modulation might be beneficial if administered during the early, hyperinflammatory phase of sepsis.

The effect of SIRT2 modulation on sepsis using the CLP model was also evaluated in obese (ob/ob; leptin deficient) mice [\[167\]](#page-24-1). LPS tolerance, assayed by leukocyte adhesion in the microvasculature, was used to monitor the stage of sepsis. Hyperinflammation peaked at 6 h and decreased at 12 h through 7 d post-CLP, and SIRT2 expression in small intestine cells increased during the hypoinflammatory stage. Ob/ob mice were treated with $AK-7$ (40 mg/kg, $n = 10$) or a vehicle at 18 h post-CLP (hypoinflammatory phase) and the SIRT2 modulator enhanced survival (70% AK-7 versus 30% vehicle). AK-7 also enhanced leukocyte adhesion in wild-type mice with diet-induced obesity when administered at 18 h post-CLP (hypoinflammatory stage), confirming the results with ob/ob mice. This experiment suggests that SIRT2 modulators could be beneficial when administered during the hypoinflammatory phase in obese patients with sepsis.

In contrast to the work with SIRT2 modulators, a CLP study with normal, lean mice showed 7-day survival rates for mice with a SIRT2 wild-type (40%), SIRT2 null (10%) versus SIRT2 overexpressing (80%) genotype [\[168\]](#page-24-2). Why does this result appear to conflict with the studies using SIRT2 modulators? There are at least three key differences between the experiments. First, as noted earlier, treatment with substrate-selective SIRT2 modulators is not equivalent to the effect of SIRT2 knockout or overexpression. Second, the consequences of SIRT2 modulation in wild-type versus obese mice could be different. There is increased morbidity in human obese patients with sepsis, i.e., prolonged severe disease [\[169\]](#page-24-3), suggesting that there is an interaction between the two conditions. However, obesity does not appear to cause an increase in sepsis mortality. Third, SIRT2 levels are modulated by dietary obesity [\[170\]](#page-24-4).

Latent HCMV can reactivate when patients are immunosuppressed. It can also reactivate during times of stress and immune compromise, two features of sepsis. The incidence of HCMV reactivation during sepsis is high, ~30% [\[171\]](#page-24-5), and, in about half of these patients, the reactivation of additional herpesviruses (EBV, HSV, HHV-6) occurs [\[172\]](#page-24-6). Elevated TNF-α during the hyperinflammatory phase, which activates the HCMV major immediate early promoter [\[173\]](#page-24-7), followed by a hypoinflammatory/immune-suppressed phase, provides a two-pronged mechanism, favoring HCMV reactivation and replication during sepsis. The mortality risk during sepsis is increased ~1.7-fold with HCMV reactivation and reactivation is also associated with a longer requirement for ventilation and prolonged hospitalization [\[174,](#page-24-8)[175\]](#page-24-9). Worse outcomes are proportional to the level of circulating viral DNA [\[174\]](#page-24-8). Importantly, it is not known whether poor outcomes are a consequence of HCMV activity or simply an indication of the severity of the disease, i.e., severe disease favors HCMV reactivation [\[176\]](#page-24-10). An ongoing clinical trial (NCT04706507) designed to test the possible benefits of the direct-acting antiviral, ganciclovir, is currently enrolling patients. If this trial improves outcomes in sepsis patients with reactivated herpesviruses, it will provide a rationale for further investigations with SIRT2-modulating therapeutics, which could potentially block viral replication and spread and also improve outcomes in terms of dysregulated immunity induced by sepsis.

In sum, experiments employing the mouse CLP model raise the possibility that SIRT2 modulators could provide therapeutic benefits in sepsis.

7. Some Interesting Questions

7.1. Why Does FLS-359 More Potently Inhibit the Production of HCMV Progeny than It Inhibits the Activity of Purified SIRT2?

The FLS-359 IC₅₀ for HCMV antiviral activity is 0.47 ± 0.20 μ M, whereas the IC₅₀ for the inhibition of SIRT2 deacetylation in a biochemical assay is about 3.0 μ M [\[22\]](#page-18-14). One could suspect that an off-target activity of the drug contributes significantly to the anti-HCMV activity and, of course, an off-target effect remains possible. However, there are many variables that can affect these measurements and resulting interpretations. It is possible that the susceptibility of intracellular SIRT2 to chemical modulators is influenced by phosphorylation and acetylation [\[122,](#page-22-11)[177,](#page-24-11)[178\]](#page-24-12), or by its numerous associations with other proteins [\[179\]](#page-24-13). Alternatively, the inhibition of H3K9 deacetylation (the substrate used in biochemical assays) might be less efficient than the deacetylation of acetylated lysine in other contexts that are highly relevant for antiviral activity. It is also possible that deacetylation activity might not be the key to antiviral activity. A different deacylase activity that is more potently inhibited by the drug might have a greater effect on virus replication. It will be very important to more thoroughly characterize the substrate selectivity across a wide range of lysine modifications targeted by SIRT2 modulators (Table [2\)](#page-4-0), correlating specific sets of enzymatic activities with anti-infective activities.

7.2. Which SIRT2-Modulated Processes Must Be Targeted to Generate Anti-Infective Activity?

When nine DNA and RNA viruses were tested, the potency of FLS-359 ranged from 0.3 μ M (SARS-CoV-2 in Calu-3 cells) to 6.7 μ M (respiratory syncytial virus in MRC-5 fibroblasts) [\[22\]](#page-18-14). Part of the reason for these differences is likely trivial, relating to how efficiently the drug enters different cell types, the intracellular half-life once there, and even the fact that the assays were performed in several different laboratories. However, as detailed above, SIRT2 modulators impact numerous cellular processes with the potential to influence viral replication and spread, and it is likely that the perturbation of multiple SIRT2 activities can influence the growth of any one virus and it is also possible that some viruses are more sensitive to the modulation of some SIRT2 targets than others. Further investigation is needed to better understand the extent to which SIRT2-regulated processes influence the growth of a range of different viruses. This understanding could allow SIRT2 modulators to be optimally matched to susceptible pathogens. Indeed, the vision would be a future with a small number of SIRT2 modulators "on the shelf" that could be tested for an optimal match to a new or evolving pathogen.

7.3. What Is the Potential for Combination Therapies of SIRT2 Modulators and Direct-Acting Therapeutics?

The therapeutic utility of combining host-targeted SIRT2 modulators with standard-ofcare, direct-acting anti-infective drugs holds considerable promise. Combination regimens can potentially enhance potency, reduce the dose of each agent in the cocktail, and block the evolution of drug-resistant variants. Although combinations of other host-targeted compounds with direct-acting agents has been shown to provide a synergistic antiviral effect, for e.g., the mitogen-activated kinase (MEK1/2) inhibitor, ATR-002, with SARS-CoV-2 polymerase or protease inhibitors in cultured cells [\[180\]](#page-24-14), SIRT2 modulators have not yet been evaluated. This issue is important and deserves exploration.

Although SIRT2 has been most often studied as an anti-infective target among SIRT family members (Table [1\)](#page-3-0), SIRT1 knockdown, knockout, or modulation has also been shown to inhibit the replication of several viruses, including HBV [\[181](#page-24-15)[–185\]](#page-24-16), HSV-1 [\[17\]](#page-18-9), HIV [\[186\]](#page-24-17), and SARS-CoV-2 [\[187,](#page-24-18)[188\]](#page-24-19). Further, as noted above, both SIRT1 and SIRT2 have been reported to impact foreign DNA recognition in infected cells. SIRT1 deacetylates IFI16, blocking its cytoplasmic localization [\[17\]](#page-18-9), whereas SIRT2 deacetylates G3BP1 and blocks its activating interaction with cGAS [\[77\]](#page-20-15). These observations raise the possibility

that combinations of SIRT1 and SIRT2 modulators might exhibit enhanced antiviral efficacy, since both SIRT1 and SIRT2 inhibit some of the same virus families, and IFI-16 and cGAS cooperate to induce a full innate response to viral DNA [\[189](#page-24-20)[,190\]](#page-25-0). This question can be explored by comparing the antiviral properties of combinations of SIRT1 inhibitors, such as EX527 [\[191\]](#page-25-1), and SIRT2 drugs (Table [2\)](#page-4-0) versus individual drugs. That said, any cross-SIRT isoform binding could result in interference with the expected combined effect. In this case, SIRT1 and 2 dual modulators, such as sirtinol and tenovin-1, which are known to have antiviral activity (Table [2\)](#page-4-0), may be more relevant as a "combination" strategy. Of course, enhanced antiviral activity must be weighed against potentially increased toxicity, if both SIRT1 and SIRT2 are modulated.

8. Conclusions

There is clearly a need for a new generation of host-targeted, anti-infective therapeutics. They will bring three principal benefits: broad-spectrum activity, a block to the evolution of resistance, and the potential for rapid deployment in the face of newly emerging pathogens. SIRT2 modulators are highly competitive among host-targeted, broad-spectrum therapeutics, in that they appear to be well-tolerated and likely modulate multiple cell processes that can cooperate to induce an anti-infective environment. They also have the potential advantage that the modification they induce, i.e., hyperacylation, might prove to be relatively long lived, i.e., until eraser activity comes back into balance after the drug is withdrawn. This could reduce the required frequency of dosing. SIRT2 modulators are efficacious in preclinical studies and are poised to provide clinical candidates that can rapidly progress to human safety and efficacy testing.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization and writing—original draft preparation, T.S.; formal analysis and visualization of computational modelling, J.L.K.III; conceptualization and writing—review and editing, L.W.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the article.

Acknowledgments: We thank Samuel Bernhard for help in design of Figure [2.](#page-6-0)

Conflicts of Interest: The authors, T.S., J.L.K.III, and L.W.C. own company stock and are Chairman of the Board, Consultant, and Chief Executive Officer, respectively, at Evrys Bio, LLC.The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Lieberman-Blum, S.S.; Fung, H.B.; Bandres, J.C. Maraviroc: A CCR5-Receptor Antagonist for the Treatment of HIV-1 Infection. *Clin. Ther.* **2008**, *30*, 1228–1250. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-2918(08)80048-3) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18691983)
- 2. Radoshitzky, S.R.; Iversen, P.; Lu, X.; Zou, J.; Kaptein, S.J.F.; Stuthman, K.S.; Van Tongeren, S.A.; Steffens, J.; Gong, R.; Truong, H.; et al. Expanded Profiling of Remdesivir as a Broad-Spectrum Antiviral and Low Potential for Interaction with Other Medications in Vitro. *Sci. Rep.* **2023**, *13*, 3131. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-29517-9) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36823196)
- 3. Kumar, N.; Sharma, S.; Kumar, R.; Tripathi, B.N.; Barua, S.; Ly, H.; Rouse, B.T. Host-Directed Antiviral Therapy. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2020**, *33*, e00168-19. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00168-19) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32404434)
- 4. He, Y.; Zhou, J.; Gao, H.; Liu, C.; Zhan, P.; Liu, X. Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Strategy: Host-Targeting Antivirals against Emerging and Re-Emerging Viruses. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2024**, *265*, 116069. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2023.116069)
- 5. Zheng, Y.; Li, S.; Song, K.; Ye, J.; Li, W.; Zhong, Y.; Feng, Z.; Liang, S.; Cai, Z.; Xu, K. A Broad Antiviral Strategy: Inhibitors of Human DHODH Pave the Way for Host-Targeting Antivirals against Emerging and Re-Emerging Viruses. *Viruses* **2022**, *14*, 928. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/v14050928)
- 6. Dwek, R.A.; Bell, J.I.; Feldmann, M.; Zitzmann, N. Host-Targeting Oral Antiviral Drugs to Prevent Pandemics. *Lancet* **2022**, *399*, 1381–1382. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00454-8)
- 7. Tsai, K.; Cullen, B.R. Epigenetic and Epitranscriptomic Regulation of Viral Replication. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2020**, *18*, 559–570. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-0382-3)
- 8. Wang, X.; Xia, H.; Liu, S.; Cao, L.; You, F. Epigenetic Regulation in Antiviral Innate Immunity. *Eur. J. Immunol.* **2021**, *51*, 1641–1651. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.202048975) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33964027)
- 9. Svinkina, T.; Gu, H.; Silva, J.C.; Mertins, P.; Qiao, J.; Fereshetian, S.; Jaffe, J.D.; Kuhn, E.; Udeshi, N.D.; Carr, S.A. Deep, Quantitative Coverage of the Lysine Acetylome Using Novel Anti-Acetyl-Lysine Antibodies and an Optimized Proteomic Workflow. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2015**, *14*, 2429–2440. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.O114.047555)
- 10. Narita, T.; Weinert, B.T.; Choudhary, C. Functions and Mechanisms of Non-Histone Protein Acetylation. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2019**, *20*, 156–174. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-018-0081-3)
- 11. Feehley, T.; O'Donnell, C.W.; Mendlein, J.; Karande, M.; McCauley, T. Drugging the Epigenome in the Age of Precision Medicine. *Clin. Epigenetics* **2023**, *15*, 6. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148-022-01419-z) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36631803)
- 12. Holdgate, G.A.; Bardelle, C.; Lanne, A.; Read, J.; O'Donovan, D.H.; Smith, J.M.; Selmi, N.; Sheppard, R. Drug Discovery for Epigenetics Targets. *Drug Discov. Today* **2022**, *27*, 1088–1098. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2021.10.020) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34728375)
- 13. Sharma, A.; Mahur, P.; Muthukumaran, J.; Singh, A.K.; Jain, M. Shedding Light on Structure, Function and Regulation of Human Sirtuins: A Comprehensive Review. *3 Biotech* **2023**, *13*, 29. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-022-03455-1)
- 14. Feldman, J.L.; Dittenhafer-Reed, K.E.; Denu, J.M. Sirtuin Catalysis and Regulation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2012**, *287*, 42419–42427. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R112.378877)
- 15. Bursch, K.L.; Goetz, C.J.; Smith, B.C. Current Trends in Sirtuin Activator and Inhibitor Development. *Molecules* **2024**, *29*, 1185. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules29051185)
- 16. de Freitas e Silva, R.; Bassi, G.; Câmara, N.O.S.; Moretti, N.S. Sirtuins: Key Pieces in the Host Response to Pathogens' Puzzle. *Mol. Immunol.* **2023**, *160*, 150–160. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2023.06.010)
- 17. Wang, J.; Qin, X.; Huang, Y.; Zhang, G.; Liu, Y.; Cui, Y.; Wang, Y.; Pei, J.; Ma, S.; Song, Z.; et al. Sirt1 Negatively Regulates Cellular Antiviral Responses by Preventing the Cytoplasmic Translocation of Interferon-Inducible Protein 16 in Human Cells. *J. Virol.* **2023**, *97*, e0197522. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.01975-22)
- 18. Eskandarian, H.A.; Impens, F.; Nahori, M.-A.; Soubigou, G.; Coppee, J.-Y.; Cossart, P.; Hamon, M.A. A Role for SIRT2-Dependent Histone H3K18 Deacetylation in Bacterial Infection. *Science* **2013**, *341*, 1238858. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1238858) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23908241)
- 19. Eldridge, M.J.G.; Hamon, M.A. Histone H3 Deacetylation Promotes Host Cell Viability for Efficient Infection by Listeria Monocytogenes. *PLoS Pathog.* **2021**, *17*, e1010173. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010173)
- 20. Yu, H.-B.; Cheng, S.-T.; Ren, F.; Chen, Y.; Shi, X.-F.; Wong, V.K.W.; Law, B.Y.K.; Ren, J.-H.; Zhong, S.; Chen, W.-X.; et al. SIRT7 Restricts HBV Transcription and Replication through Catalyzing Desuccinylation of Histone H3 Associated with CccDNA Minichromosome. *Clin. Sci.* **2021**, *135*, 1505–1522. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1042/CS20210392)
- 21. Cheng, C.Y.; Gutierrez, N.M.; Marzuki, M.B.; Lu, X.; Foreman, T.W.; Paleja, B.; Lee, B.; Balachander, A.; Chen, J.; Tsenova, L.; et al. Host Sirtuin 1 Regulates Mycobacterial Immunopathogenesis and Represents a Therapeutic Target against Tuberculosis. *Sci. Immunol.* **2017**, *2*, eaaj1789. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.aaj1789) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28707004)
- 22. Roche, K.L.; Remiszewski, S.; Todd, M.J.; Kulp, J.L.; Tang, L.; Welsh, A.V.; Barry, A.P.; De, C.; Reiley, W.W.; Wahl, A.; et al. An Allosteric Inhibitor of Sirtuin 2 Deacetylase Activity Exhibits Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Activity. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2023**, *133*, e158978. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI158978) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37317966)
- 23. Outeiro, T.F.; Kontopoulos, E.; Altmann, S.M.; Kufareva, I.; Strathearn, K.E.; Amore, A.M.; Volk, C.B.; Maxwell, M.M.; Rochet, J.C.; McLean, P.J.; et al. Sirtuin 2 Inhibitors Rescue α-Synuclein-Mediated Toxicity in Models of Parkinson's Disease. *Science* **2007**, *317*, 516–519. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1143780)
- 24. Spires-Jones, T.L.; Fox, L.M.; Rozkalne, A.; Pitstick, R.; Carlson, G.A.; Kazantsev, A.G. Inhibition of Sirtuin 2 with Sulfobenzoic Acid Derivative AK1 Is Non-Toxic and Potentially Neuroprotective in a Mouse Model of Frontotemporal Dementia. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2012**, *3*, 42. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2012.00042)
- 25. Taylor, D.M.; Balabadra, U.; Xiang, Z.; Woodman, B.; Meade, S.; Amore, A.; Maxwell, M.M.; Reeves, S.; Bates, G.P.; Luthi-Carter, R.; et al. A Brain-Permeable Small Molecule Reduces Neuronal Cholesterol by Inhibiting Activity of Sirtuin 2 Deacetylase. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2011**, *6*, 540–546. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/cb100376q)
- 26. Khanfar, M.A.; Quinti, L.; Wang, H.; Choi, S.H.; Kazantsev, A.G.; Silverman, R.B. Development and Characterization of 3-(Benzylsulfonamido)Benzamides as Potent and Selective SIRT2 Inhibitors. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *76*, 414–426. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.02.003)
- 27. Grozinger, C.M.; Chao, E.D.; Blackwell, H.E.; Moazed, D.; Schreiber, S.L. Identification of a Class of Small Molecule Inhibitors of the Sirtuin Family of NAD-Dependent Deacetylases by Phenotypic Screening. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, *276*, 38837–38843. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M106779200) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11483616)
- 28. Lain, S.; Hollick, J.J.; Campbell, J.; Staples, O.D.; Higgins, M.; Aoubala, M.; McCarthy, A.; Appleyard, V.; Murray, K.E.; Baker, L.; et al. Discovery, In Vivo Activity, and Mechanism of Action of a Small-Molecule P53 Activator. *Cancer Cell* **2008**, *13*, 454–463. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2008.03.004) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18455128)
- 29. Jing, H.; Hu, J.; He, B.; Negrón Abril, Y.L.; Stupinski, J.; Weiser, K.; Carbonaro, M.; Chiang, Y.-L.; Southard, T.; Giannakakou, P.; et al. A SIRT2-Selective Inhibitor Promotes c-Myc Oncoprotein Degradation and Exhibits Broad Anticancer Activity. *Cancer Cell* **2016**, *29*, 297–310. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2016.02.007)
- 30. Singh, A.P.; Nigam, L.; Yadav, Y.; Shekhar, S.; Subbarao, N.; Dey, S. Design and in Vitro Analysis of SIRT2 Inhibitor Targeting Parkinson's Disease. *Mol. Divers.* **2021**, *25*, 2261–2270. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11030-020-10116-z)
- 31. Hong, J.Y.; Cassel, J.; Yang, J.; Lin, H.; Weiser, B.P. High-Throughput Screening Identifies Ascorbyl Palmitate as a SIRT2 Deacetylase and Defatty-Acylase Inhibitor. *ChemMedChem* **2021**, *16*, 3484–3494. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/cmdc.202100343) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34382754)
- 32. Nielsen, A.L.; Rajabi, N.; Kudo, N.; Lundø, K.; Moreno-Yruela, C.; Bæk, M.; Fontenas, M.; Lucidi, A.; Madsen, A.S.; Yoshida, M.; et al. Mechanism-Based Inhibitors of SIRT2: Structure–Activity Relationship, X-Ray Structures, Target Engagement, Regulation of α-Tubulin Acetylation and Inhibition of Breast Cancer Cell Migration. *RSC Chem. Biol.* **2021**, *2*, 612–626. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CB00036A) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34458803)
- 33. Ren, Y.; Lei, J.; Zhang, T.; Lu, P.; Cui, D.; Yang, B.; Zhao, G.; Peng, F.; Cao, Z.; Peng, C.; et al. Isobavachalcone, a Natural Sirtuin 2 Inhibitor, Exhibits anti-Riple-negative Breast Cancer Efficacy in Vitro and in Vivo. *Phyther. Res.* **2024**, *38*, 1815–1829. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.8143)
- 34. Tang, L.; Remiszewski, S.; Snedeker, A.; Chiang, L.W.; Shenk, T. An Allosteric Inhibitor of Sirtuin 2 Blocks Hepatitis B Virus Covalently Closed Circular DNA Establishment and Its Transcriptional Activity. *Antiviral Res.* **2024**, *226*, 105888. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2024.105888)
- 35. Piracha, Z.Z.; Kwon, H.; Saeed, U.; Kim, J.; Jung, J.; Chwae, Y.-J.; Park, S.; Shin, H.-J.; Kim, K. Sirtuin 2 Isoform 1 Enhances Hepatitis B Virus RNA Transcription and DNA Synthesis through the AKT/GSK-3β/β-Catenin Signaling Pathway. *J. Virol.* **2018**, *92*, e00955-18. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00955-18)
- 36. Yu, H.-B.; Jiang, H.; Cheng, S.-T.; Hu, Z.-W.; Ren, J.-H.; Chen, J. AGK2, A SIRT2 Inhibitor, Inhibits Hepatitis B Virus Replication In Vitro And In Vivo. *Int. J. Med. Sci.* **2018**, *15*, 1356–1364. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.7150/ijms.26125)
- 37. Li, Y.; Bie, J.; Song, C.; Li, Y.; Zhang, T.; Li, H.; Zhao, L.; You, F.; Luo, J. SIRT2 Negatively Regulates the CGAS-STING Pathway by Deacetylating G3BP1. *EMBO Rep.* **2023**, *24*, e57500. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.202357500)
- 38. Kanda, T.; Sasaki, R.; Nakamoto, S.; Haga, Y.; Nakamura, M.; Shirasawa, H.; Okamoto, H.; Yokosuka, O. The Sirtuin Inhibitor Sirtinol Inhibits Hepatitis A Virus (HAV) Replication by Inhibiting HAV Internal Ribosomal Entry Site Activity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2015**, *466*, 567–571. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.09.083)
- 39. Wan, Y.; Wu, W.; Zhang, J.; Li, L.; Wan, Y.; Tang, X.; Chen, X.; Liu, S.; Yao, X. Tenovin-1 Inhibited Dengue Virus Replication through SIRT2. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* **2021**, *907*, 174264. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2021.174264)
- 40. Hackett, B.A.; Dittmar, M.; Segrist, E.; Pittenger, N.; To, J.; Griesman, T.; Gordesky-Gold, B.; Schultz, D.C.; Cherry, S. Sirtuin Inhibitors Are Broadly Antiviral against Arboviruses. *mBio* **2019**, *10*, e01446-19. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01446-19)
- 41. Duran-Castells, C.; Llano, A.; Kawana-Tachikawa, A.; Prats, A.; Martinez-Zalacain, I.; Kobayashi-Ishihara, M.; Oriol-Tordera, B.; Peña, R.; Gálvez, C.; Silva-Arrieta, S.; et al. Sirtuin-2, NAD-Dependent Deacetylase, Is a New Potential Therapeutic Target for HIV-1 Infection and HIV-Related Neurological Dysfunction. *J. Virol.* **2023**, *97*, e0165522. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.01655-22) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36719240)
- 42. Bhaskar, A.; Kumar, S.; Khan, M.Z.; Singh, A.; Dwivedi, V.P.; Nandicoori, V.K. Host Sirtuin 2 as an Immunotherapeutic Target against Tuberculosis. *Elife* **2020**, *9*, 106644. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.55415) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32697192)
- 43. Gogoi, M.; Chandra, K.; Sarikhani, M.; Ramani, R.; Sundaresan, N.R.; Chakravortty, D. Salmonella Escapes Adaptive Immune Response via SIRT2 Mediated Modulation of Innate Immune Response in Dendritic Cells. *PLOS Pathog.* **2018**, *14*, e1007437. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007437) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30452468)
- 44. Ladds, M.J.G.W.; Popova, G.; Pastor-Fernández, A.; Kannan, S.; van Leeuwen, I.M.M.; Håkansson, M.; Walse, B.; Tholander, F.; Bhatia, R.; Verma, C.S.; et al. Exploitation of Dihydroorotate Dehydrogenase (DHODH) and P53 Activation as Therapeutic Targets: A Case Study in Polypharmacology. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2020**, *295*, 17935–17949. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA119.012056) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32900849)
- 45. Rack, J.G.M.; VanLinden, M.R.; Lutter, T.; Aasland, R.; Ziegler, M. Constitutive Nuclear Localization of an Alternatively Spliced Sirtuin-2 Isoform. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2014**, *426*, 1677–1691. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2013.10.027)
- 46. North, B.J.; Verdin, E. Interphase Nucleo-Cytoplasmic Shuttling and Localization of SIRT2 during Mitosis. *PLoS ONE* **2007**, *2*, e784. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000784)
- 47. Vaquero, A. SirT2 Is a Histone Deacetylase with Preference for Histone H4 Lys 16 during Mitosis. *Genes Dev.* **2006**, *20*, 1256–1261. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1412706)
- 48. Feldman, J.L.; Baeza, J.; Denu, J.M. Activation of the Protein Deacetylase SIRT6 by Long-Chain Fatty Acids and Widespread Deacylation by Mammalian Sirtuins. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2013**, *288*, 31350–31356. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C113.511261)
- 49. Zhu, C.; Dong, X.; Wang, X.; Zheng, Y.; Qiu, J.; Peng, Y.; Xu, J.; Chai, Z.; Liu, C. Multiple Roles of SIRT2 in Regulating Physiological and Pathological Signal Transduction. *Genet. Res.* **2022**, *2022*, 9282484. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/9282484)
- 50. Delaney, K.; Tan, M.; Zhu, Z.; Gao, J.; Dai, L.; Kim, S.; Ding, J.; He, M.; Halabelian, L.; Yang, L.; et al. Histone Lysine Methacrylation Is a Dynamic Post-Translational Modification Regulated by HAT1 and SIRT2. *Cell Discov.* **2021**, *7*, 122. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-021-00344-4)
- 51. Xie, Y.; Chen, L.; Wang, R.; Wang, J.; Li, J.; Xu, W.; Li, Y.; Yao, S.Q.; Zhang, L.; Hao, Q.; et al. Chemical Probes Reveal Sirt2's New Function as a Robust "Eraser" of Lysine Lipoylation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 18428–18436. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.9b06913) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31644285)
- 52. Huang, H.; Zhang, D.; Wang, Y.; Perez-Neut, M.; Han, Z.; Zheng, Y.G.; Hao, Q.; Zhao, Y. Lysine Benzoylation Is a Histone Mark Regulated by SIRT2. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 3374. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05567-w) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30154464)
- 53. Jennings, E.Q.; Ray, J.D.; Zerio, C.J.; Trujillo, M.N.; McDonald, D.M.; Chapman, E.; Spiegel, D.A.; Galligan, J.J. Sirtuin 2 Regulates Protein LactoylLys Modifications. *Chembiochem* **2021**, *22*, 2102–2106. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.202000883)
- 54. Jin, J.; He, B.; Zhang, X.; Lin, H.; Wang, Y. SIRT2 Reverses 4-Oxononanoyl Lysine Modification on Histones. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 12304–12307. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b04977)
- 55. Sauve, A.A.; Celic, I.; Avalos, J.; Deng, H.; Boeke, J.D.; Schramm, V.L. Chemistry of Gene Silencing: The Mechanism of NAD + -Dependent Deacetylation Reactions. *Biochemistry* **2001**, *40*, 15456–15463. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/bi011858j)
- 56. Sauve, A.A.; Youn, D.Y. Sirtuins: NAD+-Dependent Deacetylase Mechanism and Regulation. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2012**, *16*, 535–543. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2012.10.003)
- 57. Feldman, J.L.; Dittenhafer-Reed, K.E.; Kudo, N.; Thelen, J.N.; Ito, A.; Yoshida, M.; Denu, J.M. Kinetic and Structural Basis for Acyl-Group Selectivity and NAD(+) Dependence in Sirtuin-Catalyzed Deacylation. *Biochemistry* **2015**, *54*, 3037–3050. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.5b00150) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25897714)
- 58. Wang, Y.; Fung, Y.M.E.; Zhang, W.; He, B.; Chung, M.W.H.; Jin, J.; Hu, J.; Lin, H.; Hao, Q. Deacylation Mechanism by SIRT2 Revealed in the 1′ -SH-2′ -O-Myristoyl Intermediate Structure. *Cell Chem. Biol.* **2017**, *24*, 339–345. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2017.02.007) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28286128)
- 59. Avalos, J.L.; Bever, K.M.; Wolberger, C. Mechanism of Sirtuin Inhibition by Nicotinamide: Altering the NAD+ Cosubstrate Specificity of a Sir2 Enzyme. *Mol. Cell* **2005**, *17*, 855–868. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2005.02.022)
- 60. Gertz, M.; Fischer, F.; Nguyen, G.T.T.; Lakshminarasimhan, M.; Schutkowski, M.; Weyand, M.; Steegborn, C. Ex-527 Inhibits Sirtuins by Exploiting Their Unique NAD + -Dependent Deacetylation Mechanism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **2013**, *110*, E2772–E2781. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1303628110)
- 61. Teng, Y.-B.; Jing, H.; Aramsangtienchai, P.; He, B.; Khan, S.; Hu, J.; Lin, H.; Hao, Q. Efficient Demyristoylase Activity of SIRT2 Revealed by Kinetic and Structural Studies. *Sci. Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 8529. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08529) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25704306)
- 62. Rumpf, T.; Schiedel, M.; Karaman, B.; Roessler, C.; North, B.J.; Lehotzky, A.; Oláh, J.; Ladwein, K.I.; Schmidtkunz, K.; Gajer, M.; et al. Selective Sirt2 Inhibition by Ligand-Induced Rearrangement of the Active Site. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 6263. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7263) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25672491)
- 63. Schiedel, M.; Rumpf, T.; Karaman, B.; Lehotzky, A.; Gerhardt, S.; Ovádi, J.; Sippl, W.; Einsle, O.; Jung, M. Structure-Based Development of an Affinity Probe for Sirtuin 2. *Angew. Chemie Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 2252–2256. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201509843)
- 64. Schiedel, M.; Rumpf, T.; Karaman, B.; Lehotzky, A.; Oláh, J.; Gerhardt, S.; Ovádi, J.; Sippl, W.; Einsle, O.; Jung, M. Aminothiazoles as Potent and Selective Sirt2 Inhibitors: A Structure-Activity Relationship Study. *J. Med. Chem.* **2016**, *59*, 1599–1612. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b01517) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26696402)
- 65. Sundriyal, S.; Moniot, S.; Mahmud, Z.; Yao, S.; Di Fruscia, P.; Reynolds, C.R.; Dexter, D.T.; Sternberg, M.J.E.; Lam, E.W.F.; Steegborn, C.; et al. Thienopyrimidinone Based Sirtuin-2 (SIRT2)-Selective Inhibitors Bind in the Ligand Induced Selectivity Pocket. *J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *60*, 1928–1945. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.6b01690)
- 66. Friesner, R.A.; Banks, J.L.; Murphy, R.B.; Halgren, T.A.; Klicic, J.J.; Mainz, D.T.; Repasky, M.P.; Knoll, E.H.; Shelley, M.; Perry, J.K.; et al. Glide: A New Approach for Rapid, Accurate Docking and Scoring. 1. Method and Assessment of Docking Accuracy. *J. Med. Chem.* **2004**, *47*, 1739–1749. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/jm0306430)
- 67. Quinti, L.; Casale, M.; Moniot, S.; Pais, T.F.; Van Kanegan, M.J.; Kaltenbach, L.S.; Pallos, J.; Lim, R.G.; Naidu, S.D.; Runne, H.; et al. SIRT2- and NRF2-Targeting Thiazole-Containing Compound with Therapeutic Activity in Huntington's Disease Models. *Cell Chem. Biol.* **2016**, *23*, 849–861. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2016.05.015) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27427231)
- 68. Moniot, S.; Schutkowski, M.; Steegborn, C. Crystal Structure Analysis of Human Sirt2 and Its ADP-Ribose Complex. *J. Struct. Biol.* **2013**, *182*, 136–143. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2013.02.012) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23454361)
- 69. Lin, H. Substrate-Selective Small-Molecule Modulators of Enzymes: Mechanisms and Opportunities. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2022**, *72*, 102231. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2022.102231)
- 70. Koyuncu, E.; Budayeva, H.G.; Miteva, Y.V.; Ricci, D.P.; Silhavy, T.J.; Shenk, T.; Cristea, I.M. Sirtuins Are Evolutionarily Conserved Viral Restriction Factors. *mBio* **2014**, *5*, e02249-14. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02249-14)
- 71. Ciarlo, E.; Heinonen, T.; Théroude, C.; Herderschee, J.; Mombelli, M.; Lugrin, J.; Pfefferlé, M.; Tyrrell, B.; Lensch, S.; Acha-Orbea, H.; et al. Sirtuin 2 Deficiency Increases Bacterial Phagocytosis by Macrophages and Protects from Chronic Staphylococcal Infection. *Front. Immunol.* **2017**, *8*, 1037. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2017.01037) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28894448)
- 72. Heinonen, T.; Ciarlo, E.; Rigoni, E.; Regina, J.; Le Roy, D.; Roger, T. Dual Deletion of the Sirtuins SIRT2 and SIRT3 Impacts on Metabolism and Inflammatory Responses of Macrophages and Protects From Endotoxemia. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *10*, 2713. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02713)
- 73. Zhang, L.; Kim, S.; Ren, X. The Clinical Significance of SIRT2 in Malignancies: A Tumor Suppressor or an Oncogene? *Front. Oncol.* **2020**, *10*, 01721. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.01721) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33014852)
- 74. Kim, H.-S.; Vassilopoulos, A.; Wang, R.-H.; Lahusen, T.; Xiao, Z.; Xu, X.; Li, C.; Veenstra, T.D.; Li, B.; Yu, H.; et al. SIRT2 Maintains Genome Integrity and Suppresses Tumorigenesis through Regulating APC/C Activity. *Cancer Cell* **2011**, *20*, 487–499. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2011.09.004)
- 75. Tang, X.; Chen, X.-F.; Wang, N.-Y.; Wang, X.-M.; Liang, S.-T.; Zheng, W.; Lu, Y.-B.; Zhao, X.; Hao, D.-L.; Zhang, Z.-Q.; et al. SIRT2 Acts as a Cardioprotective Deacetylase in Pathological Cardiac Hypertrophy. *Circulation* **2017**, *136*, 2051–2067. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.028728)
- 76. Lantier, L.; Williams, A.S.; Hughey, C.C.; Bracy, D.P.; James, F.D.; Ansari, M.A.; Gius, D.; Wasserman, D.H. SIRT2 Knockout Exacerbates Insulin Resistance in High Fat-Fed Mice. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0208634. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0208634) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30533032)
- 77. Olofsson, S.K.; Cars, O. Optimizing Drug Exposure to Minimize Selection of Antibiotic Resistance. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2007**, *45*, S129–S136. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1086/519256)
- 78. Raymond, B. Five Rules for Resistance Management in the Antibiotic Apocalypse, a Road Map for Integrated Microbial Management. *Evol. Appl.* **2019**, *12*, 1079–1091. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12808)
- 79. Bantia, S.; Parker, C.D.; Ananth, S.L.; Horn, L.L.; Andries, K.; Chand, P.; Kotian, P.L.; Dehghani, A.; El-Kattan, Y.; Lin, T.; et al. Comparison of the Anti-Influenza Virus Activity of RWJ-270201 with Those of Oseltamivir and Zanamivir. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2001**, *45*, 1162–1167. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.4.1162-1167.2001)
- 80. He, G.; Massarella, J.; Ward, P. Clinical Pharmacokinetics of the Prodrug Oseltamivir and Its Active Metabolite Ro 64-0802. *Clin. Pharmacokinet.* **1999**, *37*, 471–484. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2165/00003088-199937060-00003)
- 81. Davies, B.E. Pharmacokinetics of Oseltamivir: An Oral Antiviral for the Treatment and Prophylaxis of Influenza in Diverse Populations. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **2010**, *65*, ii5–ii10. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkq015) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20215135)
- 82. Bravo, F.J.; Cardin, R.D.; Bernstein, D.I. A Model of Human Cytomegalovirus Infection in Severe Combined Immunodeficient Mice. *Antiviral Res.* **2007**, *76*, 104–110. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2007.06.008) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17658624)
- 83. Lischka, P.; Hewlett, G.; Wunberg, T.; Baumeister, J.; Paulsen, D.; Goldner, T.; Ruebsamen-Schaeff, H.; Zimmermann, H. InVitro and In Vivo Activities of the Novel Anticytomegalovirus Compound AIC246. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2010**, *54*, 1290–1297. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01596-09) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20047911)
- 84. Wahl, A.; De, C.; Abad Fernandez, M.; Lenarcic, E.M.; Xu, Y.; Cockrell, A.S.; Cleary, R.A.; Johnson, C.E.; Schramm, N.J.; Rank, L.M.; et al. Precision Mouse Models with Expanded Tropism for Human Pathogens. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *37*, 1163–1173. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0225-9) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31451733)
- 85. Wahl, A.; Gralinski, L.E.; Johnson, C.E.; Yao, W.; Kovarova, M.; Dinnon, K.H.; Liu, H.; Madden, V.J.; Krzystek, H.M.; De, C.; et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection Is Effectively Treated and Prevented by EIDD-2801. *Nature* **2021**, *591*, 451–457. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03312-w)
- 86. Li, S.; Guo, L. The Role of Sirtuin 2 in Liver—An Extensive and Complex Biological Process. *Life Sci.* **2024**, *339*, 122431. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2024.122431)
- 87. Kaya, S.G.; Eren, G. Selective Inhibition of SIRT2: A Disputable Therapeutic Approach in Cancer Therapy. *Bioorg. Chem.* **2024**, *143*, 107038. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioorg.2023.107038)
- 88. Zheng, M.; Hu, C.; Wu, M.; Chin, Y. Emerging Role of SIRT2 in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer (Review). *Oncol. Lett.* **2021**, *22*, 731. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2021.12992)
- 89. Roshdy, E.; Mustafa, M.; Shaltout, A.E.-R.; Radwan, M.O.; Ibrahim, M.A.A.; Soliman, M.E.; Fujita, M.; Otsuka, M.; Ali, T.F.S. Selective SIRT2 Inhibitors as Promising Anticancer Therapeutics: An Update from 2016 to 2020. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2021**, *224*, 113709. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2021.113709)
- 90. Chen, G.; Huang, P.; Hu, C. The Role of SIRT2 in Cancer: A Novel Therapeutic Target. *Int. J. Cancer* **2020**, *147*, 3297–3304. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.33118)
- 91. North, B.J.; Marshall, B.L.; Borra, M.T.; Denu, J.M.; Verdin, E. The Human Sir2 Ortholog, SIRT2, Is an NAD+-Dependent Tubulin Deacetylase. *Mol. Cell* **2003**, *11*, 437–444. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(03)00038-8) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12620231)
- 92. Hubbert, C.; Guardiola, A.; Shao, R.; Kawaguchi, Y.; Ito, A.; Nixon, A.; Yoshida, M.; Wang, X.-F.; Yao, T.-P. HDAC6 Is a Microtubule-Associated Deacetylase. *Nature* **2002**, *417*, 455–458. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/417455a)
- 93. Skoge, R.H.; Ziegler, M. SIRT2 Inactivation Reveals a Subset of Hyperacetylated Perinuclear Microtubules Inaccessible to HDAC6. *J. Cell Sci.* **2016**, *129*, 2972–2982. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.187518)
- 94. Janke, C.; Montagnac, G. Causes and Consequences of Microtubule Acetylation. *Curr. Biol.* **2017**, *27*, R1287–R1292. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2017.10.044) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29207274)
- 95. da Silva, E.S.; Naghavi, M.H. Microtubules and Viral Infection. *Adv. Virus Res.* **2023**, *115*, 87–134. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aivir.2023.02.003) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37173066)
- 96. Sanchez, V.; Greis, K.D.; Sztul, E.; Britt, W.J. Accumulation of Virion Tegument and Envelope Proteins in a Stable Cytoplasmic Compartment during Human Cytomegalovirus Replication: Characterization of a Potential Site of Virus Assembly. *J. Virol.* **2000**, *74*, 975–986. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.74.2.975-986.2000)
- 97. Decout, A.; Katz, J.D.; Venkatraman, S.; Ablasser, A. The CGAS–STING Pathway as a Therapeutic Target in Inflammatory Diseases. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2021**, *21*, 548–569. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-021-00524-z)
- 98. Liu, Z.-S.; Cai, H.; Xue, W.; Wang, M.; Xia, T.; Li, W.-J.; Xing, J.-Q.; Zhao, M.; Huang, Y.-J.; Chen, S.; et al. G3BP1 Promotes DNA Binding and Activation of CGAS. *Nat. Immunol.* **2019**, *20*, 18–28. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-018-0262-4)
- 99. Zhao, M.; Xia, T.; Xing, J.; Yin, L.; Li, X.; Pan, J.; Liu, J.; Sun, L.; Wang, M.; Li, T.; et al. The Stress Granule Protein G3BP1 Promotes Pre-condensation of CGAS to Allow Rapid Responses to DNA. *EMBO Rep.* **2022**, *23*, e53166. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.202153166)
- 100. Jayabalan, A.K.; Griffin, D.E.; Leung, A.K.L. Pro-Viral and Anti-Viral Roles of the RNA-Binding Protein G3BP1. *Viruses* **2023**, *15*, 449. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/v15020449)
- 101. Kim, S.S.-Y.; Sze, L.; Lam, K.-P. The Stress Granule Protein G3BP1 Binds Viral DsRNA and RIG-I to Enhance Interferon-β Response. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2019**, *294*, 6430–6438. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.005868) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30804210)
- 102. Yang, W.; Ru, Y.; Ren, J.; Bai, J.; Wei, J.; Fu, S.; Liu, X.; Li, D.; Zheng, H. G3BP1 Inhibits RNA Virus Replication by Positively Regulating RIG-I-Mediated Cellular Antiviral Response. *Cell Death Dis.* **2019**, *10*, 946. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-019-2178-9) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31827077)
- 103. Fruman, D.A.; Chiu, H.; Hopkins, B.D.; Bagrodia, S.; Cantley, L.C.; Abraham, R.T. The PI3K Pathway in Human Disease. *Cell* **2017**, *170*, 605–635. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.029)
- 104. He, Y.; Sun, M.M.; Zhang, G.G.; Yang, J.; Chen, K.S.; Xu, W.W.; Li, B. Targeting PI3K/Akt Signal Transduction for Cancer Therapy. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **2021**, *6*, 425. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00828-5)
- 105. Ramakrishnan, G.; Davaakhuu, G.; Kaplun, L.; Chung, W.-C.; Rana, A.; Atfi, A.; Miele, L.; Tzivion, G. Sirt2 Deacetylase Is a Novel AKT Binding Partner Critical for AKT Activation by Insulin. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2014**, *289*, 6054–6066. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.537266) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24446434)
- 106. Chen, J.; Chan, A.W.H.; To, K.-F.; Chen, W.; Zhang, Z.; Ren, J.; Song, C.; Cheung, Y.-S.; Lai, P.B.S.; Cheng, S.-H.; et al. SIRT2 Overexpression in Hepatocellular Carcinoma Mediates Epithelial to Mesenchymal Transition by Protein Kinase B/Glycogen Synthase Kinase-3β/β-Catenin Signaling. *Hepatology* **2013**, *57*, 2287–2298. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.26278)
- 107. Bellacosa, A.; Testa, J.R.; Staal, S.P.; Tsichlis, P.N. A Retroviral Oncogene, Akt, Encoding a Serine-Threonine Kinase Containing an SH2-like Region. *Science* **1991**, *254*, 274–277.
- 108. Blanco, J.; Cameirao, C.; López, M.C.; Muñoz-Barroso, I. Phosphatidylinositol-3-Kinase-Akt Pathway in Negative-Stranded RNA Virus Infection: A Minireview. *Arch. Virol.* **2020**, *165*, 2165–2176. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-020-04740-1)
- 109. Liu, X.; Cohen, J.I. The Role of PI3K/Akt in Human Herpesvirus Infection: From the Bench to the Bedside. *Virology* **2015**, *479–480*, 568–577. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2015.02.040)
- 110. Bossler, F.; Hoppe-Seyler, K.; Hoppe-Seyler, F. PI3K/AKT/MTOR Signaling Regulates the Virus/Host Cell Crosstalk in HPV-Positive Cervical Cancer Cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 2188. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20092188)
- 111. Rawat, S.; Bouchard, M.J. The Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) HBx Protein Activates AKT To Simultaneously Regulate HBV Replication and Hepatocyte Survival. *J. Virol.* **2015**, *89*, 999–1012. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02440-14) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25355887)
- 112. Ranadheera, C.; Coombs, K.M.; Kobasa, D. Comprehending a Killer: The Akt/MTOR Signaling Pathways Are Temporally High-Jacked by the Highly Pathogenic 1918 Influenza Virus. *EBioMedicine* **2018**, *32*, 142–163. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.05.027) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29866590)
- 113. Basile, M.S.; Cavalli, E.; McCubrey, J.; Hernández-Bello, J.; Muñoz-Valle, J.F.; Fagone, P.; Nicoletti, F. The PI3K/Akt/MTOR Pathway: A Potential Pharmacological Target in COVID-19. *Drug Discov. Today* **2022**, *27*, 848–856. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drudis.2021.11.002)
- 114. Raja, R.; Ronsard, L.; Lata, S.; Trivedi, S.; Banerjea, A.C. HIV-1 Tat Potently Stabilises Mdm2 and Enhances Viral Replication. *Biochem. J.* **2017**, *474*, 2449–2464. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1042/BCJ20160825)
- 115. Deregibus, M.C.; Cantaluppi, V.; Doublier, S.; Brizzi, M.F.; Deambrosis, I.; Albini, A.; Camussi, G. HIV-1-Tat Protein Activates Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase/ AKT-Dependent Survival Pathways in Kaposi's Sarcoma Cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, *277*, 25195– 25202. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M200921200)
- 116. Cheung, J.; Remiszewski, S.; Chiang, L.W.; Ahmad, E.; Pal, M.; Rahman, S.A.; Nikolovska-Coleska, Z.; Chan, G.C. Inhibition of SIRT2 Promotes Death of Human Cytomegalovirus-Infected Peripheral Blood Monocytes via Apoptosis and Necroptosis. *Antiviral Res.* **2023**, *217*, 105698. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2023.105698)
- 117. Chan, G.; Bivins-Smith, E.R.; Smith, M.S.; Smith, P.M.; Yurochko, A.D. Transcriptome Analysis Reveals Human Cytomegalovirus Reprograms Monocyte Differentiation toward an M1 Macrophage. *J. Immunol.* **2008**, *181*, 698–711. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.181.1.698)
- 118. Elder, E.; Krishna, B.; Williamson, J.; Aslam, Y.; Farahi, N.; Wood, A.; Romashova, V.; Roche, K.; Murphy, E.; Chilvers, E.; et al. Monocytes Latently Infected with Human Cytomegalovirus Evade Neutrophil Killing. *iScience* **2019**, *12*, 13–26. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2019.01.007) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30677738)
- 119. Chan, G.; Nogalski, M.T.; Bentz, G.L.; Smith, M.S.; Parmater, A.; Yurochko, A.D. PI3K-Dependent Upregulation of Mcl-1 by Human Cytomegalovirus Is Mediated by Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor and Inhibits Apoptosis in Short-Lived Monocytes. *J. Immunol.* **2010**, *184*, 3213–3222. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.0903025)
- 120. Cojohari, O.; Peppenelli, M.A.; Chan, G.C. Human Cytomegalovirus Induces an Atypical Activation of Akt To Stimulate the Survival of Short-Lived Monocytes. *J. Virol.* **2016**, *90*, 6443–6452. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00214-16)
- 121. Sundaresan, N.R.; Pillai, V.B.; Wolfgeher, D.; Samant, S.; Vasudevan, P.; Parekh, V.; Raghuraman, H.; Cunningham, J.M.; Gupta, M.; Gupta, M.P. The Deacetylase SIRT1 Promotes Membrane Localization and Activation of Akt and PDK1 During Tumorigenesis and Cardiac Hypertrophy. *Sci. Signal.* **2011**, *4*, ra46. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2001465) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21775285)
- 122. Pereira, J.M.; Chevalier, C.; Chaze, T.; Gianetto, Q.; Impens, F.; Matondo, M.; Cossart, P.; Hamon, M.A. Infection Reveals a Modification of SIRT2 Critical for Chromatin Association. *Cell Rep.* **2018**, *23*, 1124–1137. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.116) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29694890)
- 123. Wapenaar, H.; Dekker, F.J. Experimental Approaches Toward Histone Acetyltransferase Inhibitors as Therapeutics. In *Medical Epigenetics*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2016; pp. 685–704.
- 124. Chu, X.; Wu, B.; Fan, H.; Hou, J.; Hao, J.; Hu, J.; Wang, B.; Liu, G.; Li, C.; Meng, S. PTD-Fused P53 as a Potential Antiviral Agent Directly Suppresses HBV Transcription and Expression. *Antiviral Res.* **2016**, *127*, 41–49. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2016.01.008) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26784393)
- 125. Peuget, S.; Selivanova, G. P53-Dependent Repression: DREAM or Reality? *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 4850. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13194850)
- 126. Reed, S.; Quelle, D. P53 Acetylation: Regulation and Consequences. *Cancers* **2014**, *7*, 30–69. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers7010030)
- 127. Kalle, A.M.; Mallika, A.; Badiger, J.; Alinakhi; Talukdar, P. Sachchidanand Inhibition of SIRT1 by a Small Molecule Induces Apoptosis in Breast Cancer Cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2010**, *401*, 13–19. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.08.118)
- 128. Peck, B.; Chen, C.Y.; Ho, K.K.; Di Fruscia, P.; Myatt, S.S.; Coombes, R.C.; Fuchter, M.J.; Hsiao, C.D.; Lam, E.W.F. SIRT Inhibitors Induce Cell Death and P53 Acetylation through Targeting Both SIRT1 and SIRT2. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* **2010**, *9*, 844–855. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-09-0971) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20371709)
- 129. Hoffmann, G.; Breitenbücher, F.; Schuler, M.; Ehrenhofer-Murray, A.E. A Novel Sirtuin 2 (SIRT2) Inhibitor with P53-Dependent pro-Apoptotic Activity in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2014**, *289*, 5208–5216. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.487736)
- 130. Wu, D.-Q.; Ding, Q.-Y.; Tao, N.-N.; Tan, M.; Zhang, Y.; Li, F.; Zhou, Y.-J.; Dong, M.-L.; Cheng, S.-T.; Ren, F.; et al. SIRT2 Promotes HBV Transcription and Replication by Targeting Transcription Factor P53 to Increase the Activities of HBV Enhancers and Promoters. *Front. Microbiol.* **2022**, *13*, 836446. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.836446)
- 131. Hamaidi, I.; Zhang, L.; Kim, N.; Wang, M.-H.; Iclozan, C.; Fang, B.; Liu, M.; Koomen, J.M.; Berglund, A.E.; Yoder, S.J.; et al. Sirt2 Inhibition Enhances Metabolic Fitness and Effector Functions of Tumor-Reactive T Cells. *Cell Metab.* **2020**, *32*, 420–436.e12. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2020.07.008)
- 132. Hamaidi, I.; Kim, S. Sirtuins Are Crucial Regulators of T Cell Metabolism and Functions. *Exp. Mol. Med.* **2022**, *54*, 207–215. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-022-00739-7) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35296782)
- 133. Ye, X.; Li, M.; Hou, T.; Gao, T.; Zhu, W.; Yang, Y. Sirtuins in Glucose and Lipid Metabolism. *Oncotarget* **2017**, *8*, 1845–1859. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.12157)
- 134. Girdhar, K.; Powis, A.; Raisingani, A.; Chrudinová, M.; Huang, R.; Tran, T.; Sevgi, K.; Dogus Dogru, Y.; Altindis, E. Viruses and Metabolism: The Effects of Viral Infections and Viral Insulins on Host Metabolism. *Annu. Rev. Virol.* **2021**, *8*, 373–391. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-091919-102416) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34586876)
- 135. Feng, Z.; Hensley, L.; McKnight, K.L.; Hu, F.; Madden, V.; Ping, L.; Jeong, S.-H.; Walker, C.; Lanford, R.E.; Lemon, S.M. A Pathogenic Picornavirus Acquires an Envelope by Hijacking Cellular Membranes. *Nature* **2013**, *496*, 367–371. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12029) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23542590)
- 136. Shiota, T.; Li, Z.; Chen, G.-Y.; McKnight, K.L.; Shirasaki, T.; Yonish, B.; Kim, H.; Fritch, E.J.; Sheahan, T.P.; Muramatsu, M.; et al. Hepatoviruses Promote Very-Long-Chain Fatty Acid and Sphingolipid Synthesis for Viral RNA Replication and Quasi-Enveloped Virus Release. *Sci. Adv.* **2023**, *9*, eadj4198. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adj4198)
- 137. Purdy, J.G.; Shenk, T.; Rabinowitz, J.D. Fatty Acid Elongase 7 Catalyzes Lipidome Remodeling Essential for Human Cytomegalovirus Replication. *Cell Rep.* **2015**, *10*, 1375–1385. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.02.003)
- 138. Murray, L.A.; Sheng, X.; Cristea, I.M. Orchestration of Protein Acetylation as a Toggle for Cellular Defense and Virus Replication. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 4967. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07179-w) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30470744)
- 139. Xue, M.; Feng, T.; Chen, Z.; Yan, Y.; Chen, Z.; Dai, J. Protein Acetylation Going Viral: Implications in Antiviral Immunity and Viral Infection. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 11308. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms231911308) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36232610)
- 140. Fishman, J.A. Infection in Organ Transplantation. *Am. J. Transplant.* **2017**, *17*, 856–879. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/ajt.14208)
- 141. Taneja, A.; Chewning, J.H.; Saad, A. Viral Infections after Allogeneic Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant. *Adv. CELL GENE Ther.* **2019**, *2*, e43. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/acg2.43)
- 142. Manansala, M.; Baughman, R.; Novak, R.; Judson, M.; Sweiss, N. Management of Immunosuppressants in the Era of Coronavirus Disease-2019. *Curr. Opin. Pulm. Med.* **2021**, *27*, 176–183. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1097/MCP.0000000000000770) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33779588)
- 143. Ye, B.; Liu, X.; Li, X.; Kong, H.; Tian, L.; Chen, Y. T-Cell Exhaustion in Chronic Hepatitis B Infection: Current Knowledge and Clinical Significance. *Cell Death Dis.* **2015**, *6*, e1694. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2015.42) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25789969)
- 144. Fisicaro, P.; Boni, C.; Barili, V.; Laccabue, D.; Ferrari, C. Strategies to Overcome HBV-Specific T Cell Exhaustion: Checkpoint Inhibitors and Metabolic Re-Programming. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* **2018**, *30*, 1–8. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2018.01.003) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29414066)
- 145. Bhaskar, A.; Pahuja, I.; Negi, K.; Verma, A.; Ghoshal, A.; Mathew, B.; Tripathi, G.; Maras, J.S.; Chaturvedi, S.; Dwivedi, V.P. SIRT2 Inhibition by AGK2 Enhances Mycobacteria-Specific Stem Cell Memory Responses by Modulating Beta-Catenin and Glycolysis. *iScience* **2023**, *26*, 106644. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2023.106644)
- 146. O'Sullivan, D. The Metabolic Spectrum of Memory T Cells. *Immunol. Cell Biol.* **2019**, *97*, 636–646. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/imcb.12274)
- 147. Tsogtbaatar, E.; Landin, C.; Minter-Dykhouse, K.; Folmes, C.D.L. Energy Metabolism Regulates Stem Cell Pluripotency. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* **2020**, *8*, 87. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2020.00087)
- 148. Rapaka, R.R.; Cross, A.S.; McArthur, M.A. Using Adjuvants to Drive T Cell Responses for Next-Generation Infectious Disease Vaccines. *Vaccines* **2021**, *9*, 820. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9080820)
- 149. Rothgiesser, K.M.; Erener, S.; Waibel, S.; Luscher, B.; Hottiger, M.O. SIRT2 Regulates NF- B-Dependent Gene Expression through Deacetylation of P65 Lys310. *J. Cell Sci.* **2010**, *123*, 4251–4258. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.073783)
- 150. Lugrin, J.Ô.; Ciarlo, E.; Santos, A.; Grandmaison, G.; Dos Santos, I.; Le Roy, D.; Roger, T. The Sirtuin Inhibitor Cambinol Impairs MAPK Signaling, Inhibits Inflammatory and Innate Immune Responses and Protects from Septic Shock. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta—Mol. Cell Res.* **2013**, *1833*, 1498–1510. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2013.03.004)
- 151. Yuan, F.; Xu, Z.; Lu, L.; Nie, H.; Ding, J.; Ying, W.; Tian, H. SIRT2 Inhibition Exacerbates Neuroinflammation and Blood–Brain Barrier Disruption in Experimental Traumatic Brain Injury by Enhancing NF-κB P65 Acetylation and Activation. *J. Neurochem.* **2016**, *136*, 581–593. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/jnc.13423)
- 152. Lee, A.S.; Jung, Y.J.; Kim, D.; Nguyen-Thanh, T.; Kang, K.P.; Lee, S.; Park, S.K.; Kim, W. SIRT2 Ameliorates Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Inflammation in Macrophages. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2014**, *450*, 1363–1369. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.06.135) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25003320)
- 153. Zhang, Y.; Anoopkumar-Dukie, S.; Mallik, S.B.; Davey, A.K. SIRT1 and SIRT2 Modulators Reduce LPS-Induced Inflammation in HAPI Microglial Cells and Protect SH-SY5Y Neuronal Cells in Vitro. *J. Neural Transm.* **2021**, *128*, 631–644. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-021-02331-1) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33821324)
- 154. Jiao, F.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, H.; Chen, Q.; Wang, L.; Shi, C.; Gong, Z. AGK2 Alleviates Lipopolysaccharide Induced Neuroinflammation through Regulation of Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Phosphatase-1. *J. Neuroimmune Pharmacol.* **2020**, *15*, 196–208. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11481-019-09890-x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31786712)
- 155. Lu, W.; Ji, H.; Wu, D. SIRT2 Plays Complex Roles in Neuroinflammation Neuroimmunology-Associated Disorders. *Front. Immunol.* **2023**, *14*, 1174180. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1174180)
- 156. Singer, M.; Deutschman, C.S.; Seymour, C.W.; Shankar-Hari, M.; Annane, D.; Bauer, M.; Bellomo, R.; Bernard, G.R.; Chiche, J.-D.; Coopersmith, C.M.; et al. The Third International Consensus Definitions for Sepsis and Septic Shock (Sepsis-3). *JAMA* **2016**, *315*, 801. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.0287)
- 157. van der Poll, T.; Shankar-Hari, M.; Wiersinga, W.J. The Immunology of Sepsis. *Immunity* **2021**, *54*, 2450–2464. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2021.10.012)
- 158. Rello, J.; Valenzuela-Sánchez, F.; Ruiz-Rodriguez, M.; Moyano, S. Sepsis: A Review of Advances in Management. *Adv. Ther.* **2017**, *34*, 2393–2411. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-017-0622-8)
- 159. Hotchkiss, R.S.; Monneret, G.; Payen, D. Sepsis-Induced Immunosuppression: From Cellular Dysfunctions to Immunotherapy. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2013**, *13*, 862–874. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3552)
- 160. Torres, L.K.; Pickkers, P.; van der Poll, T. Sepsis-Induced Immunosuppression. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **2022**, *84*, 157–181. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-061121-040214)
- 161. Herminghaus, A.; Osuchowski, M.F. How Sepsis Parallels and Differs from COVID-19. *eBioMedicine* **2022**, *86*, 104355. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.104355)
- 162. Deitch, E.A. RODENT MODELS OF INTRA-ABDOMINAL INFECTION. *Shock* **2005**, *24*, 19–23. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.shk.0000191386.18818.0a) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16374368)
- 163. Raven, K. Rodent Models of Sepsis Found Shockingly Lacking. *Nat. Med.* **2012**, *18*, 998. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm0712-998a) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22772539)
- 164. Lewis, A.J.; Seymour, C.W.; Rosengart, M.R. Current Murine Models of Sepsis. *Surg. Infect.* **2016**, *17*, 385–393. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1089/sur.2016.021)
- 165. Doi, K.; Leelahavanichkul, A.; Yuen, P.S.T.; Star, R.A. Animal Models of Sepsis and Sepsis-Induced Kidney Injury. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2009**, *119*, 2868–2878. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI39421)
- 166. Zhao, T.; Alam, H.B.; Liu, B.; Bronson, R.T.; Nikolian, V.C.; Wu, E.; Chong, W.; Li, Y. Selective Inhibition of SIRT2 Improves Outcomes in a Lethal Septic Model. *Curr. Mol. Med.* **2015**, *15*, 634–641. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.2174/156652401507150903185852)
- 167. Wang, X.; Buechler, N.L.; Martin, A.; Wells, J.; Yoza, B.; McCall, C.E.; Vachharajani, V. Sirtuin-2 Regulates Sepsis Inflammation in Ob/Ob Mice. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0160431. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160431) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27500833)
- 168. Buechler, N.; Wang, X.; Yoza, B.K.; McCall, C.E.; Vachharajani, V. Sirtuin 2 Regulates Microvascular Inflammation during Sepsis. *J. Immunol. Res.* **2017**, *2017*, 2648946. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/2648946)
- 169. Akinnusi, M.E.; Pineda, L.A.; El Solh, A.A. Effect of Obesity on Intensive Care Morbidity and Mortality: A Meta-Analysis*. *Crit. Care Med.* **2008**, *36*, 151–158. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000297885.60037.6E)
- 170. Krishnan, J.; Danzer, C.; Simka, T.; Ukropec, J.; Walter, K.M.; Kumpf, S.; Mirtschink, P.; Ukropcova, B.; Gasperikova, D.; Pedrazzini, T.; et al. Dietary Obesity-Associated Hif1α Activation in Adipocytes Restricts Fatty Acid Oxidation and Energy Expenditure via Suppression of the Sirt2-NAD + System. *Genes Dev.* **2012**, *26*, 259–270. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.180406.111)
- 171. Marandu, T.; Dombek, M.; Cook, C.H. Impact of Cytomegalovirus Load on Host Response to Sepsis. *Med. Microbiol. Immunol.* **2019**, *208*, 295–303. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00430-019-00603-y)
- 172. Walton, A.H.; Muenzer, J.T.; Rasche, D.; Boomer, J.S.; Sato, B.; Brownstein, B.H.; Pachot, A.; Brooks, T.L.; Deych, E.; Shannon, W.D.; et al. Reactivation of Multiple Viruses in Patients with Sepsis. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e98819. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098819)
- 173. Stein, J.; Volk, H.-D.; Liebenthal, C.; Kruger, D.H.; Prosch, S. Tumour Necrosis Factor Stimulates the Activity of the Human Cytomegalovirus Major Immediate Early Enhancer/Promoter in Immature Monocytic Cells. *J. Gen. Virol.* **1993**, *74*, 2333–2338. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-74-11-2333) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8245850)
- 174. Limaye, A.P.; Kirby, K.A.; Rubenfeld, G.D.; Leisenring, W.M.; Bulger, E.M.; Neff, M.J.; Gibran, N.S.; Huang, M.L.; Santo Hayes, T.K.; Corey, L.; et al. Cytomegalovirus Reactivation in Critically Ill Immunocompetent Patients. *JAMA* **2008**, *300*, 413. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2008.697)
- 175. Lachance, P.; Chen, J.; Featherstone, R.; Sligl, W.I. Association Between Cytomegalovirus Reactivation and Clinical Outcomes in Immunocompetent Critically Ill Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Open Forum Infect. Dis.* **2017**, *4*, ofx029. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofx029)
- 176. Mansfield, S.A.; Cook, C.H. Antiviral Prophylaxis of Cytomegalovirus Reactivation in Immune Competent Patients—The Jury Remains Out. *J. Thorac. Dis.* **2017**, *9*, 2221–2223. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.21037/jtd.2017.06.130) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28932509)
- 177. Choi, Y.H.; Kim, H.; Lee, S.H.; Jin, Y.-H.; Lee, K.Y. Src Regulates the Activity of SIRT2. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2014**, *450*, 1120–1125. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.06.117)
- 178. North, B.J.; Verdin, E. Mitotic Regulation of SIRT2 by Cyclin-Dependent Kinase 1-Dependent Phosphorylation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2007**, *282*, 19546–19555. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M702990200) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17488717)
- 179. Budayeva, H.G.; Cristea, I.M. Human Sirtuin 2 Localization, Transient Interactions, and Impact on the Proteome Point to Its Role in Intracellular Trafficking. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* **2016**, *15*, 3107–3125. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/mcp.M116.061333) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27503897)
- 180. Schreiber, A.; Ambrosy, B.; Planz, O.; Schloer, S.; Rescher, U.; Ludwig, S. The MEK1/2 Inhibitor ATR-002 (Zapnometinib) Synergistically Potentiates the Antiviral Effect of Direct-Acting Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Drugs. *Pharmaceutics* **2022**, *14*, 1776. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics14091776)
- 181. Ren, J.-H.; Tao, Y.; Zhang, Z.-Z.; Chen, W.-X.; Cai, X.-F.; Chen, K.; Ko, B.C.B.; Song, C.-L.; Ran, L.-K.; Li, W.-Y.; et al. Sirtuin 1 Regulates Hepatitis B Virus Transcription and Replication by Targeting Transcription Factor AP-1. *J. Virol.* **2014**, *88*, 2442–2451. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.02861-13)
- 182. Deng, J.-J.; Kong, K.-Y.E.; Gao, W.-W.; Tang, H.-M.V.; Chaudhary, V.; Cheng, Y.; Zhou, J.; Chan, C.-P.; Wong, D.K.-H.; Yuen, M.-F.; et al. Interplay between SIRT1 and Hepatitis B Virus X Protein in the Activation of Viral Transcription. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta—Gene Regul. Mech.* **2017**, *1860*, 491–501. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2017.02.007) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28242208)
- 183. Yamai, T.; Hikita, H.; Fukuoka, M.; Fukutomi, K.; Murai, K.; Nakabori, T.; Yamada, R.; Miyakawa, K.; Watashi, K.; Ryo, A.; et al. SIRT1 Enhances Hepatitis Virus B Transcription Independent of Hepatic Autophagy. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2020**, *527*, 64–70. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.04.031) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32446392)
- 184. Tang, Q.; Meng, C.; Liu, Y.; Cheng, Y.; Liu, Y.; Long, Y.; Sun, S.; Feng, F. Silencing SIRT1 Promotes the Anti-HBV Action of IFN-α by Regulating Pol Expression and Activating the JAK-STAT Signaling Pathway. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **2023**, *124*, 110939. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2023.110939) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37741128)
- 185. Li, J.; Yu, M.; Zong, R.; Fan, C.; Ren, F.; Wu, W.; Li, C. Deacetylation of Notch1 by SIRT1 Contributes to HBsAg- and HBeAg-Mediated M2 Macrophage Polarization. *Am. J. Physiol. Liver Physiol.* **2022**, *322*, G459–G471. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00338.2021)
- 186. Pagans, S.; Pedal, A.; North, B.J.; Kaehlcke, K.; Marshall, B.L.; Dorr, A.; Hetzer-Egger, C.; Henklein, P.; Frye, R.; McBurney, M.W.; et al. SIRT1 Regulates HIV Transcription via Tat Deacetylation. *PLoS Biol* **2005**, *3*, e41. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0030041)
- 187. Zhang, S.; Wang, J.; Wang, L.; Aliyari, S.; Cheng, G. SARS-CoV-2 Virus NSP14 Impairs NRF2/HMOX1 Activation by Targeting Sirtuin 1. *Cell. Mol. Immunol.* **2022**, *19*, 872–882. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-022-00887-w)
- 188. Walter, M.; Chen, I.P.; Vallejo-Gracia, A.; Kim, I.-J.; Bielska, O.; Lam, V.L.; Hayashi, J.M.; Cruz, A.; Shah, S.; Soveg, F.W.; et al. SIRT5 Is a Proviral Factor That Interacts with SARS-CoV-2 Nsp14 Protein. *PLoS Pathog.* **2022**, *18*, e1010811. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010811)
- 189. Diner, B.A.; Lum, K.K.; Toettcher, J.E.; Cristea, I.M. Viral DNA Sensors IFI16 and Cyclic GMP-AMP Synthase Possess Distinct Functions in Regulating Viral Gene Expression, Immune Defenses, and Apoptotic Responses during Herpesvirus Infection. *MBio* **2016**, *7*, e01553-16. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01553-16)
- 190. Almine, J.F.; O'Hare, C.A.J.; Dunphy, G.; Haga, I.R.; Naik, R.J.; Atrih, A.; Connolly, D.J.; Taylor, J.; Kelsall, I.R.; Bowie, A.G.; et al. IFI16 and CGAS Cooperate in the Activation of STING during DNA Sensing in Human Keratinocytes. *Nat. Commun.* **2017**, *8*, 14392. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14392)
- 191. Broussy, S.; Laaroussi, H.; Vidal, M. Biochemical Mechanism and Biological Effects of the Inhibition of Silent Information Regulator 1 (SIRT1) by EX-527 (SEN0014196 or Selisistat). *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.* **2020**, *35*, 1124–1136. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/14756366.2020.1758691)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.